

Educator Preparation Phase-in Schedule for Evidence under 2013 CAEP Standards

Phase-in of new lines of evidence will be necessary. CAEP recognizes that the 2013 standards require, in some cases, evidence that has not been required or collected in the past. Accordingly, CAEP has created developmental expectations for EPPs with visits during the transition period (2014 and 2015) and for EPPs with visits in the first two years after the standards become required (those with visits in 2016 and 2017).

CAEP, March 18, 2014

	EPP selects prior or new CAEP standards		New CAEP standards required for all accreditation self-studies, reviews, and decisions				
If your next accreditation self-study is submitted in calendar year→	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
1. GENERAL PHASE-IN POLICY CONTAINED IN THE SELF-STUDY GUIDES							
PERTAINS TO: Topics in the CAEP standards requiring evidence not previously expected							
EXAMPLES: candidate assessment literacy (Standard 1);							
Partnerships with mutual decision making (Standard 2);							
Recruitment of academically able and diverse candidates (Standard 3);							
Functioning Quality Assurance System (Standard 5)							
Self-study includes plans	plans	plans	Plans +	Plans +	Fully in	Fully in	Fully in
			progress	progress	place	place	place
For CAEP-accredited EPPs, annual reports show progress under the plan	Pro-	Pro-	Pro-	Pro-			
	gress,	gress,	gress in	gress in			
	data if	data if	EPP	EPP			
	possible	possible	Annual	Annual			
			Report	Report			
2. STANDARD 3 PHASE-IN OF PERFORMANCE ON A NATIONALLY NORMED ASSESSMENT			Top	Top	Top	Top	Top
OF ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT/ ABILITY			50%	50%	40%	40%	33%
PERTAINS TO: Admitted candidate group average performance on nationally normed							
achievement/ ability assessments							
EXAMPLES: SAT, ACT, GRE	onnh.	annl.	annly.	ماممه	ماممه	annlı.	م ما م
Alternative criteria can be used, validated through investigation	apply	apply	apply	apply	apply	apply	apply
3. THE 8 ANNUAL REPORTING MEASURES PHASE-IN IMPLEMENTATION							
PERTAINS TO: Program impact (Standard 4), including: P-12 student learning, teacher							
observations/ student surveys; employer satisfaction/ persistence; and completer							
satisfaction—these will benefit from new state data bases (already available in							
some states) for consistency and completeness, and be cost effective for EPPs							
+ Program outcome, including: licensure, completion, and hiring rates; and consumer							
information (encouraged but not part of accreditation)	lan	lan	lan	lan	lan	Jan.	lan
• In 2014, CAEP is collecting data on completion, licensure and employment (consistent	Jan. 2014	Jan.	Jan.	Jan. 2017	Jan.		Jan.
with Title II and/or PEDS). Also, EPP reporting is optional for 4 of the 8 measures.	CAEP	2015 CAEP	2016 CAEP	CAEP	2018 CAEP	2019 CAEP	2020 CAEP
Other 2014 EPP reporting <i>describes</i> but does not collect available or planned data, to inform 2015 and later CAER ERR approximation required.							
inform 2015 and later CAEP EPP annual reporting requests.	request	request	request	request	request	request	request

2014 EPP Annual Report Measures

(Section 5 of the Annual Report)

For each required measure of program impact, program outcome, or consumer information, evidence must be provided for programs leading to initial teacher certification or licensure. CAEP encourages EPPs to provide information on the optional reporting measures as well.

REQUIRED (must be completed)	OPTIONAL
Impact on P-12 learning and development NOTE: CAEP acknowledges data may not be available for all assessments, but EPPS are required to response to the prompt.	Indicators of teaching effectiveness
Results of completer surveys	Results of employer surveys, and including retention and employment milestones
Graduation Rates	Ability of completers to be hired in education positions for which they have prepared
Ability of completers to meet licensing (certification) and any additional state requirements	Student loan default rates and other consumer information