

Promoting Equal Treatment:

A Guide For State & Local Compliance with Federal Regulations

Why Equal Treatment?

President Bush came into office vowing to launch a

"determined attack on need" with the help of America's "armies of compassion"

BUT

a Federal audit confirmed that the Federal government:

- > Was often suspicious of faith-based organizations (FBOs);
- Excluded FBOs altogether from certain Federal programs;
- >Often conditioned funding on an FBO's willingness to accept excessive restrictions on its religious activities; and
- >Showed an institutional bias for prior grantees

Precursor: Charitable Choice

- ✓ Applies to TANF, WtW, CSBG, and SAMHSA;
- ✓ Prohibits government from excluding faith-based providers from competing on an equal basis for government funds based on faith;
- ✓ Prohibits discrimination for or against faith-based groups;
- ✓ Obligates government to protect the religious character and independence of groups that receive government funds;
- ✓ Protects the religious liberty of beneficiaries by expanding their service options and requiring alternatives if anyone objects to a faith-based program (regardless of whether funding is direct or indirect);
- ✓ Prohibits direct funding of inherently religious activities like worship, religious instruction, or proselytization;
- ✓ Prohibits discrimination against beneficiaries on the basis of religion; and
- ✓ Preserves Title VII religious hiring liberty.

The Level Playing Field

Federal, State & Local Neutrality

 No discrimination for or against a provider based on religious character, affiliation, or lack thereof

Faith-based providers eligible on the same basis as other organizations

- No quotas or set-asides
- No automatic assumption of effectiveness

The "Pervasively Sectarian" standard is no more

• No exclusion of faith-based providers simply because they are perceived as "too religious"

"What can you do?" NOT "Who are you?"

Focus on effectiveness and results

Applicability

Who is bound by the Equal Treatment Regulations?

- •Federal officials
- •State officials
- Local officials
- Intermediary organizations

When are they bound?

Whenever they engage in the purchase of social services from nongovernmental organizations with Federal or related State or local funds

Applicability

What types of funds are covered?

- ➤ Discretionary grant funds
- Formula grant funds
- ➤ Block grants funds
- ➤ Required matching funds, regardless of whether commingled with Federal funds
- ➤ State, local or private supplemental funds when voluntarily commingled with Federal funds

Applicability

Equal Treatment does not apply:

➤ Where social services are provided by one of the covered government entities in-house

➤ Where a program is covered by existing Charitable Choice Provisions

Covered Financial Relationships

DIRECT FUNDING

- Contracts
- Grants
- Subcontracts
- Subgrants
- Cooperative Agreements

INDIRECT FUNDING

- Vouchers
- Certificates
- Coupons

Provider Obligations

No <u>direct funds for "inherently religious activities" like</u> Prayer, Worship, Religious Instruction, or Evangelization **BUT**

Such activities are permissible if:

- Privately-funded
- Separate in time or location from gov't program
- Voluntary for program beneficiaries

AND

Fiscal & Programmatic Accountability for Grantees

- All providers bound by same accounting standards
- Compliance with program requirements a must

Rights of Faith-Based Providers

Religious Independence

- ✓ Independent from Federal, State & local government
- ✓ NO conditioning funds on forfeiting religious identity
- ✓ NO exclusion of FBOs because they are motivated by faith to provide social services
- ✓ Faith-based providers may:
 - Retain their religious names and mission statements
 - Choose board members on a religious basis
 - Retain control over internal governance
 - Maintain religious art, icons, or scriptures in their facilities while providing government-funded programs

Rights of Faith-Based Providers

- > Title VII Staffing Freedom
- Part of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
- Unanimously upheld by the Supreme Court in 1987
- Generally applies even where FBOs receive government funds *unless* a program statute provides otherwise
- RFRA applicable if FBO is 'substantially burdened'
- Inherently Religious Activities Okay in Programs Funded by Indirect Funds
- Product of genuine and independent private beneficiary choice
- No private funding or separation in time or location required
- Beneficiary participation may be required

Rights of Faith-Based Providers

- \gt 501(c)(3) Tax Status
- Many Federal programs do require providers to be nonprofits *HOWEVER*
- If not specifically required by a Federal program statute, States cannot impose 501(c)(3) status as a condition of eligibility for government funding
- Various ways to prove nonprofit status
 - 501(c)(3) recognition; *or*
 - Appropriate State agency verification; or
 - Certified legal document showing status; *or*
 - Local nonprofit affiliate of a State or national nonprofit

Protections for Beneficiaries

- Where direct funds are concerned . . .
 - > No discrimination based on religion, religious belief or lack thereof
 - No mandatory participation in inherently religious activities

VOLUNTARINESS IS KEY

- Where indirect funds are concerned . . .
 - Genuine and independent private choice
 - From among multiple providers
 - Including at least one provider to which beneficiary has no objection

CHOICE IS KEY

State & Local Compliance

Compliance with the Equal Treatment Is NOT Demonstrated SIMPLY By . . .

- Contracting with religiously affiliated providers such as Lutheran Social Services or Catholic Charities under terms that do not fully respect those organizations' religious characters and missions
- •Allowing churches, synagogues, and mosques to accept federally funded certificates to provide child care
- •Welcoming faith-based organizations to apply for government funds, but not changing overly-restrictive eligibility requirements
- •Allowing congregations to provide volunteer mentors, but not allowing church-related programs to compete for social service funding
- •Allowing faith-based organizations to compete for grants and contracts, but not instituting required protections for the religious liberty of providers or beneficiaries

State & Local Compliance

Affirmative Steps You Can Take to Ensure Compliance

- Educate staff on the principles of equal treatment
- Undertake a formal review of grant and procurement policies at various State and Local agencies
- Revise and clarify announcements, regulations, and compliance forms governing social service programs
- Rotate members of peer reviewer panels more frequently
- Avoid defining "community organizations" so as to exclude faith-based organizations
- Base application point-preferences on results, not repeat grantee status
- Provide technical assistance to novice and non-bureaucratic providers
- Consider ways to incorporate vouchers and other indirect funding mechanisms into programs for greater flexibility
- Take steps to foster relationships with all types of civic, charitable, faith-based, and community organizations