Individual student performances on the EDES 4006 Field Service Learning project for the past three semesters (Fall, 2016-17; Spring, 2015-16; Fall, 2015-16) EDES 4006 was extracted from the NEO Classroom Management system (<u>https://decepuprm.neolms.com/</u>) and analyzed by scoring criteria as these align to InTASC standards. The following tables show student performance on InTASC standards #2, #3, #9, and #10 disaggregated by teaching area (program).

The project rubric shows the scoring criteria and their alignment with InTASC, UPRM EPP and PRDE Professional Standards. Criteria 4, 5, 10 correspond to InTASC Standard #2, Learning Differences: The teacher uses understanding of individual differences and diverse cultures and communities to ensure inclusive learning environments that enable each learner to meet high standards. Criterion 1 corresponds to InTASC Standard #3. Learning Environments: The teacher works with others to create environments that support individual and collaborative learning, and that encourage positive social interaction, active engagement in learning, and self-motivation. Criterion 6 corresponds to InTASC Standard #9, Professional Learning and Ethical Practice: The teacher engages in ongoing professional learning and uses evidence to continually evaluate his/her practice, particularly the effects of his/her choices and actions on others (learners, families, other professionals, and the community), and adapts practice to meet the needs of each learner. Criteria 2, 3, 7, 8, 9, and 11 correspond to InTASC Standard #10, Leadership and Collaboration: The teacher seeks appropriate leadership roles and opportunities to take responsibility for student learning, to collaborate with learners, families, colleagues, other school professionals, and community members to ensure learner growth, and to advance the profession.

Less than a third of the 153 EDES 4006 students from the past three semesters are enrolled in in one of the three readily identifiable education programs: Physical Education, Agriculture Education, and Math Education. Most of the remaining students were assigned a teaching area based on the program in which they were enrolled at the time. For example, a computer science student is classified as a future math teacher while a horticulture student is classified as a future agriculture teacher. Three students were enrolled in some non-degree program.

The EDES 4006 Field Service Learning Project Results table below shows the number and percentage of students meeting or exceeding InTASC Standard Expectations by expected teaching area (program). With respect to InTASC Standard #2, over the three semesters 79% met or exceeded expectations on all three of the corresponding criteria. The potential Agriculture, Physical Education, Math teachers, and one semester of potential Social Studies teachers performed relatively poorly with respect to this standard. The one criterion pertaining to Standard #2 that more students failed to meet or exceed expectations was *Reflective Thought, Critical and Creative Thinking about Appropriate Instruction for Exceptional Students*. For most UPRM teacher candidates, *Reflective Thought and Critical Thinking* are areas that require multiple courses and experiences to develop.

With respect to InTASC Standard #3, over the three semesters 94% met or exceeded expectations on the corresponding criterion. With the exception of the math (64%) and Spanish (75%) teaching areas, over 90% met or exceeded expectations. With only four potential Spanish teachers, the group is not large enough to generate concerns. Four of 11

potential math teacher candidates did not meet expectations with respect to this criterion. All four from the 2015-16 first semester.

With respect to InTASC Standard #9, over the three semesters 97% met or exceeded expectations on the corresponding criterion. At least 89% of each of the identified teaching areas met or exceeded expectations.

With respect to InTASC Standard #10, over the three semesters 82% met or exceeded expectations on all six corresponding criteria. The lowest percentage meeting or exceeding expectations on all six Standard #10 criteria was 68% during the 2015-16 fall semester. Eighteen of the 27 who failed to meet the standard in one or more of the six criteria took the course during the 2015-16 fall semester. Overall performance with respect to this standard improved significantly in subsequent semesters. The poorest performance (54%) by teaching area (program) came from the 11 potential math teacher candidates. Four of those 11 took the course during the fall 2015-16 semester.

The validity and reliability study of this data will be available by the site visit date.

Number and Percentage of students meeting or exceeding expectations on all criteria relevant to InTASC Standard #2.

**#2: Learning Differences:** The teacher uses understanding of individual differences and diverse cultures and communities to ensure inclusive learning environments that enable each learner to meet high standards.

Drogram	maggura	2016-17	2015-16	2015-16	Total 3
Program	measure	1st sem.	2nd sem.	1st sem.	sem.
	N =	10	13	5	28
Agriculture	Number =	5	8	4	17
	Percent =	50.0%	61.5%	80.0%	60.7%
	N =	1	3	2	6
Art	Number =	1	2	2	5
	Percent =	100.0%	66.7%	100.0%	83.3%
	N =	4	7	4	15
Biology	Number =	4	5	4	13
	Percent =	100.0%	71.4%	100.0%	86.7%
	N =	1	1	1	3
Chemistry	Number =	1	1	1	3
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	2	7	5	14
English	Number =	2	4	5	11
	Percent =	100.0%	57.1%	100.0%	78.6%
	N =	2	1	2	5
History	Number =	2	1	2	5
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	3	3	5	11
Math	Number =	2	1	3	6
	Percent =	66.7%	33.3%	60.0%	54.5%
Dhuning	N =	0	1	3	4
Physical Education	Number =	0	0	2	2
Euucation	Percent =	NA	0.0%	66.7%	50.0%
	N =	2	3	3	8
Physics	Number =	2	3	2	7
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%	87.5%
	N =	16	15	21	52
Social Studies	Number =	15	9	21	45
	Percent =	93.8%	60.0%	100.0%	86.5%
	N =	0	2	2	4
Spanish	Number =	0	2	2	4
	Percent =	NA	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	0	0	3	3
NA	Number =	0	0	3	3
	Percent =	NA	NA	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	41	56	56	153
ALL	Number =	34	36	51	121
	Percent =	82.9%	64.3%	91.1%	79.1%

Number and Percentage of students meeting or exceeding expectations on the criteria relevant to InTASC Standard #3.

**#3: Learning Environments:** The teacher works with others to create environments that support individual and collaborative learning, and that encourage positive social interaction, active engagement in learning, and self-motivation.

Drogram	100000UF0	2016-17	2015-16	2015-16	Total 3
Program	measure	1st sem.	2nd sem.	1st sem.	sem.
	N =	10	13	5	28
Agriculture	Number =	8	13	5	26
	Percent =	80.0%	100.0%	100.0%	92.9%
	N =	1	3	2	6
Art	Number =	1	3	2	6
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	4	7	4	15
Biology	Number =	4	7	4	15
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	1	1	1	3
Chemistry	Number =	1	1	1	3
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	2	7	5	14
English	Number =	2	7	5	14
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	2	1	2	5
History	Number =	2	1	2	5
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	3	3	5	11
Math	Number =	3	3	1	7
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	20.0%	63.6%
Dhusieg	N =	0	1	3	4
Physical Education	Number =	0	1	3	4
Education	Percent =	NA	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	2	3	3	8
Physics	Number =	2	3	3	8
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	16	15	21	52
Social Studies	Number =	16	15	19	50
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	90.5%	96.2%
	N =	0	2	2	4
Spanish	Number =	0	2	1	3
	Percent =	NA	100.0%	50.0%	75.0%
	N =	0	0	3	3
NA	Number =	0	0	3	3
	Percent =	NA	NA	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	41	56	56	153
ALL	Number =	39	56	49	144
	Percent =	95.1%	100.0%	87.5%	94.1%

Number and Percentage of students meeting or exceeding expectations on the criteria relevant to InTASC Standard #9. **#9:** Professional Learning and Ethical Practice: The teacher engages in ongoing professional learning and uses evidence to continually evaluate his/her practice, particularly the effects of his/her choices and actions on others (learners, families, other professionals, and the community), and adapts practice to meet the needs of each learner.

Program	measure	2016-17	2015-16	2015-16	Total 3
FIOGRAFII	measure	1st sem.	2nd sem.	1st sem.	sem.
	N =	10	13	5	28
Agriculture	Number =	7	13	5	25
	Percent =	70.0%	100.0%	100.0%	89.3%
	N =	1	3	2	6
Art	Number =	1	3	2	6
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	4	7	4	15
Biology	Number =	4	7	4	15
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	1	1	1	3
Chemistry	Number =	1	1	1	3
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	2	7	5	14
English	Number =	2	7	5	14
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	2	1	2	5
History	Number =	2	1	2	5
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	3	3	5	11
Math	Number =	3	3	4	10
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	80.0%	90.9%
	N =	0	1	3	4
Physical	Number =	0	1	3	4
Education	Percent =	NA	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	2	3	3	8
Physics	Number =	2	3	3	8
-	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	16	15	21	52
Social Studies	Number =	16	15	21	52
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	0	2	2	4
Spanish	Number =	0	2	2	4
-	Percent =	NA	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	0	0	3	3
NA	Number =	0	0	3	3
	Percent =	NA	NA	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	41	56	56	153
ALL	Number =	38	56	55	149
	Percent =	92.7%	100.0%	98.2%	97.4%

Number and Percentage of students meeting or exceeding expectations on 5 of 6 criteria relevant to InTASC Standard #10. **#10:** Leadership and Collaboration: The teacher seeks appropriate leadership roles and opportunities to take responsibility for student learning, to collaborate with learners, families, colleagues, other school professionals, and community members to ensure learner growth, and to advance the profession.

Program	measure	2016-17	2015-16	2015-16	Total 3
FIOGRAFII	measure	1st sem.	2nd sem.	1st sem.	sem.
	N =	10	13	5	28
Agriculture	Number =	7	12	3	22
	Percent =	70.0%	92.3%	60.0%	78.6%
	N =	1	3	2	6
Art	Number =	1	3	1	5
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	83.3%
	N =	4	7	4	15
Biology	Number =	4	6	4	14
	Percent =	100.0%	85.7%	100.0%	93.3%
	N =	1	1	1	3
Chemistry	Number =	1	1	1	3
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	2	7	5	14
English	Number =	2	7	5	14
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	2	1	2	5
History	Number =	2	1	2	5
-	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	3	3	5	11
Math	Number =	2	3	1	6
	Percent =	66.7%	100.0%	20.0%	54.5%
	N =	0	1	3	4
Physical	Number =	0	1	1	2
Education	Percent =	NA	100.0%	33.3%	50.0%
	N =	2	3	3	8
Physics	Number =	2	3	3	8
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	16	15	21	52
Social Studies	Number =	13	15	15	43
	Percent =	81.3%	100.0%	71.4%	82.7%
	N =	0	2	2	4
Spanish	Number =	0	2	1	3
	Percent =	NA	100.0%	50.0%	75.0%
	N =	0	0	3	3
NA	Number =	0	0	1	1
	Percent =	NA	NA	33.3%	33.3%
	N =	41	56	56	153
ALL	Number =	34	54	38	126
	Percent =	82.9%	96.4%	67.9%	82.4%

Number and Percentage of students meeting or exceeding expectations on 5 of 6 criteria relevant to InTASC Standard #10. **#10:** Leadership and Collaboration: The teacher seeks appropriate leadership roles and opportunities to take responsibility for student learning, to collaborate with learners, families, colleagues, other school professionals, and community members to ensure learner growth, and to advance the profession.

Due energi		2016-17	2015-16	2015-16	Total 3
Program	measure	1st sem.	2nd sem.	1st sem.	sem.
	N =	10	13	5	28
Agriculture	Number =	7	12	5	24
	Percent =	70.0%	92.3%	100.0%	85.7%
	N =	1	3	2	6
Art	Number =	1	3	2	6
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	4	7	4	15
Biology	Number =	4	7	4	15
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	1	1	1	3
Chemistry	Number =	1	1	1	3
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	2	7	5	14
English	Number =	2	7	5	14
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	2	1	2	5
History	Number =	2	1	2	5
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	3	3	5	11
Math	Number =	2	3	1	6
	Percent =	66.7%	100.0%	20.0%	54.5%
Dia dia 1	N =	0	1	3	4
Physical	Number =	0	1	2	3
Education	Percent =	NA	100.0%	66.7%	75.0%
	N =	2	3	3	8
Physics	Number =	2	3	3	8
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	16	15	21	52
Social Studies	Number =	16	15	18	49
	Percent =	100.0%	100.0%	85.7%	94.2%
	N =	0	2	2	4
Spanish	Number =	0	2	1	3
	Percent =	NA	100.0%	50.0%	75.0%
	N =	0	0	3	3
NA	Number =	0	0	3	3
	Percent =	NA	NA	100.0%	100.0%
	N =	41	56	56	153
ALL	Number =	37	55	47	139
	Percent =	90.2%	98.2%	83.9%	90.8%

	1	2	3	4
Criterion	Inadequate	Needs to improve	Good: Meets Expectations	Exceptional: Exceeds Expectations
Effective introduction (5 points) InTASC 3, UPRM TPP 6, PRDES 5 The presentation is neat, coherent and can easily follow. (5 points) InTASC 10, UPRM TPP 5, PRDES 11	Do not speak about the school or the educational placement for students with exceptionalities. It lacks two of the following elements: 1) an introduction, 2) a conclusion, 3) orderly development of his ideas is observed.	Omit the description of the school or the educational placement for students with exceptionalities. It lacks one of the following elements: 1) an introduction, 2) a conclusion, 3) orderly development of his ideas is observed.	Speaks briefly about the school and the educational placement for students with exceptionalities. The presentation has 1) an introduction and 2) a conclusion. In addition, 3) an orderly development of his ideas is observed.	Adequately describes the school and educational placement for students with exceptionalities. The presentation has: 1) an effective introduction (establishing the topics to be discussed) and 2) a conclusion (showing deep analysis of the topics presented. In addition, 3) an orderly development of his ideas is observed.
<b>Use of audiovisual resource properly. (15 points)</b> InTASC 10, UPRM TPP 5, PRDES 11	It lacks three of the following: relevant illustrations or images, adequate size and color font, appropriate amount of text in each slide.	It lacks two of the following: relevant illustrations or images, adequate size and color font, appropriate amount of text in each slide.	It lacks one of the following: relevant illustrations or images, adequate size and color font, appropriate amount of text in each slide.	All the elements are present: relevant illustrations or images, adequate size and color font, appropriate amount of text in each slide.
Clearly shows how the topics discussed in this course are related with field experiences. (10 points) InTASC 2, UPRM TPP 9, PRDES 5	Analyzes and clearly mentions one example of how the topics discussed in this course are related with field experiences.	Analyzes and clearly mentions two examples of how the topics discussed in this course are related with field experiences.	Analyzes and clearly mentions three examples of how the topics discussed in this course are related with field experiences.	Analyzes and clearly mentions four examples of how the topics discussed in this course are related with field experiences.

	1	2	3	4
Criterion	Inadequate	Needs to improve	Good: Meets Expectations	Exceptional: Exceeds Expectations
Understand and	Present and explain	Present and explain four	Present and explain five	Present and clearly
knows how use	three effective	effective strategies to	effective strategies to	explain or demonstrate
effective strategies to meet	strategies to meet the	meet the needs of	meet the needs of	five effective strategies
students with exceptionalities	needs of students with	students with	students with	to meet the needs of
needs. (15 points) InTASC 2, UPRM TPP 9, PRDES 5	exceptionalities.	exceptionalities.	exceptionalities.	students with exceptionalities.
Language, understanding, and	Use inappropriate	Use inappropriate	Use inappropriate	Use of appropriate
knowledge of different	language on five	language on four	language twice to talk	language to talk about
exceptionalities to promote	occasions to talk about	occasions to talk about	about exceptionalities	exceptionalities and
learning (use appropriate	exceptionalities and	exceptionalities and	and appropriate	appropriate educational
language when speaking)	appropriate educational	appropriate educational	educational strategies	strategies to address
(10 points)*	strategies to address	strategies to address	to address student	student diversity.
InTASC 9, PRDES 5	student diversity.	student diversity.	diversity.	
InTASC 2, UPRM TPP				
Completed 15 hours	Student did not			Completed 15 hours of
<b>of field experience. (10 points)</b> InTASC 10, UPRM TPP 5, PRDES 11	complete 15 hours of field experience.			field experience.
Evidence of hours of	Student shows evidence	Student shows evidence	Student shows evidence	Student shows evidence
observations. (5 points)	of hours of observations	of hours of observations	of hours of observations	of hours of observations
InTASC 10, UPRM TPP 5, PRDES 11	three or more days after	two days after the due	a day after the due date.	on the due date.
	the due date.	date.		
Evidence of the teacher interview	Student shows evidence	Student shows evidence	Student shows evidence	Student shows evidence
(questions guides and interview	three or more days after	of the teacher interview	of the teacher interview	of the teacher interview
notes or summary of interview).	the due date.	(questions guides and	(questions guides and	(questions guides and
(5 points) InTASC 10, UPRM TPP 5,		interview notes or	interview notes or	interview notes or
PRDES 11		summary of interview)	summary of interview)	summary of interview)
		two days after the due date.	a day after the due date.	on the due date.

	1	2	3	4
Criterion	Inadequate	Needs to improve	Good: Meets Expectations	Exceptional: Exceeds Expectations
Reflective thought, critical and	Clearly shows reflective	Clearly shows reflective	Clearly shows reflective	Clearly shows reflective
creative thinking: Appropriate	thoughts and critical	thoughts and critical	thoughts and critical	thoughts and critical
instruction for exceptional	thinking about the	thinking about the	thinking about the	thinking about the
students (10 points)	strategies used by	strategies used by	strategies used by	strategies used by
*InTASC 2, UPRM TPP 9, PRDES 5	educators and mentions	educators and mentions	educators and mentions	educators and mentions
	2 or less additional	at least 3 additional	at least 4 additional	at least 5 additional
	creative strategies to	creative strategies to	creative strategies to	creative strategies to
	adapt teaching for	adapt teaching for	adapt teaching for	adapt teaching for
	students with	students with	students with	students with
	exceptionalities in	exceptionalities in	exceptionalities in	exceptionalities in
	different educational	different educational	different educational	different educational
	placement	placement	placement	placement
	(mainstreaming and	(mainstreaming and	(mainstreaming and	(mainstreaming and
	Special education	Special education	Special education	Special education
	classroom).	classroom).	classroom).	classroom).
Verbal	Lacks three or more of	Lacks two of the	The following elements	The following elements
communication skills	the following:	following: appropriate	are present: appropriate	are present: pauses are
and professionalism (10 points)	appropriate tone,	tone, volume is	tone, volume is	purposeful, appropriate
InTASC 10,	volume is appropriate,	appropriate, use of	appropriate, use of	tone, volume is
UPRM TPP 5, PRDES 11	use of professional	professional	professional	appropriate, use of
	terminology, do not	terminology, do not	terminology, do not	professional
	speak too fast or too	speak too fast or too	speak too fast or too	terminology, do not
	slow, and avoid slang	slow, and avoid slang	slow, and avoid slang	speak too fast or too
	terms. Use professional	terms. Use professional	terms. Use professional	slow, and avoid slang
	attire and expressions.	attire and expressions.	attire and expressions.	terms. Use professional
				attire and expressions.