

February 15, 2007.

CCRI- “Caribbean Coral Reef Institute”

“Reef fish Spawning Aggregations of the Puerto Rican Shelf”

OJEDA-SERRANO, E.¹, R. APPELDOORN², I. RUIZ-VALENTIN²

¹ Sea Grant College Program – University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez, PR 00681-9011
eojeda@uprm.edu

² Department of Marine Sciences, University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez, PR 00681-9013



(Final Report)

Prepared by:
Edgardo Ojeda, Ph.D.

Study Objectives:

Known spawning aggregation sites for commercial reef fishes from Puerto Rico consist only of several red hind (*Epinephelus guttatus*) sites and one each for rock hind (*Epinephelus adscensionis*), tiger grouper (*Mycteroperca tigris*) and mutton snapper (*Lutjanus analis*). Known non-commercial species spawning aggregation sites mentioned in the literature include: one each for the creole wrasse (*Clepticus Parrae*), striped parrotfish (*Scarus iserti*), ocean surgeonfish (*Acanthurus bahianus*) and blue tang (*Acanthurus coeruleus*). The present study proposed to identify and document additional known potential fish spawning aggregation sites around the Puerto Rican shelf Archipelago including the islands of Mona, Desecheo, Culebra and Vieques, with the participation and collaboration of a specially selected pool of local fishermen. The selection was made attending the fisher’s experience, the type of fishing gears used, the coastal region of their fishing activity and the certainty of a positive and voluntary collaboration.

Summary of Results:

In this study, we conducted an interview-based survey as a first step to identify additional potential sites throughout the entire Puerto Rican Archipelago including the islands of Mona, Desecheo, Culebra and Vieques. The survey targeted 50 key stakeholders consisting of commercial and sport fishers using skin-diving who were identified as knowledgeable, long-term users of local fisheries resources.

Using charts and geographic information system (GIS) analysis, information was obtained about 27 past and 93 present “potential” (non-overlapping) spawning aggregation sites, spawning times, changes in species composition in time and space, spawning-site fidelity, as well as 71 sites supporting multiple spawning species. The information generated included a total of 59 species, though primarily snappers (12), groupers (11), jacks (7) and scombrids (5). In addition, a diverse and useful range of socio-economic and biological information was gathered, mainly from commercial fishers, which may prove useful in designating and managing potential MPAs.

The PR fishing grounds were subdivided in twelve artificial zones to facilitate the fishers’ interview phase. This format was also used to summarize and compile all information gathered by fishing zones. GIS shapefiles were prepared for the following spawning aggregation categories: Snapper SPAG’s, Grouper SPAG’s, all species combined from “present” SPAG’s and Past (Declined) SPAG’s. Geographic maps and description of species reported by fishers forming aggregations in the Puerto Rican Shelf are summarized in Appendix 1.

Note: Those reported sites frequently visited by fishers (but where no spawning aggregations or reproduction were documented during the interviews), were excluded from this report.

Presentations:

- a) An oral presentation of the project was offered during the first “CCRI Congress” held in San Juan.
- b) On August 2006, a poster (Figure 1) was prepared for a poster presentation session organized by the Sea Grant College Program during their “PAT” (National Sea Grant Program Evaluation Team).
- c) The poster was also presented during the first “National Sea Grant Fisheries outreach extension meeting” held in Jacksonville, Florida (October 15-18, 2006).
- d) The study was accepted for an oral presentation at the 59th Gulf and Caribbean fisheries Institute (GCFI) in Belize City, Belize (November, 2006) in the Biology and Management of Spawning Aggregation session. A short paper was presented and will be published as part of the conference proceedings.
- e) An oral presentation will be offered in April 11, 2007 at the “Coral Reef Symposium” to be held in San Juan, PR.

Expenditures and assistantships to students:

- a) During the first phase of the study one assistantship was given to a graduate student to help in the preparation and field testing of the interview tools.
- b) Two contracts were prepared for students to conduct the interviews to the selected fishermen at the south, east and Vieques Island fishing zones.
- c) A two months undergraduate assistantship was given to a student to enter in Excel and SPSS programs all data collected from fisher’s interviews, and to convert all data collected on paper maps to electronic format, using the MapSource Garmin Navigation Program “MGNP”.
- d) Five months assistantship was given to a graduate Student from the Department of Marine Sciences, working with the Data conversion from “MGNP” to ArcView 9.1 format. Layers: Commercial and recreational most frequent Fishing grounds, current SPAG.s and Past SPAG,s, with special emphasis in snappers and groupers, and multispecies spawning sites.
- e) Some expenditures occurred to cover traveling and diets of students and the PI during the interviews to fishermen.

- f) Scheduled expenditures for the final period of the project were for PI salary compensation to prepare a pre-review paper for publication, and to assist to an oral presentation on the GCFI with a graduate student which was working in the project and is a coauthor of the presentations.
- g) In addition, a three month assistantship is actually given to a sub-graduate student to help in the final phase of a per-review publication.



“Reef Fish Spawning Aggregations of the Puerto Rican Shelf”

Edgardo Ojeda Serrano ¹, Richard Appeldoorn ², Idelfonso Ruiz Valentín ².
 (1) Sea Grant College Program, University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez Campus
 (2) Department of Marine Sciences, University of Puerto Rico., Mayagüez Campus



Summary

Known spawning aggregation sites (SPAGs) for reef fishes from Puerto Rico consisted only of several red hind (*Epinephelus guttatus*) sites and one each for tiger grouper (*Micropogonias undulatus*) and mutton snapper (*Lutjanus analis*). This project initiated an interview-based survey as a first step to identify additional potential sites covering the complete Puerto Rican Archipelago including the islands of Mona, Desescheo, Culebra and Vieques. The survey targeted 50 key stakeholders among commercial (using multiple gears) and sport fishermen (using skin-diving) identified as knowledgeable, long-term users of the local fisheries resources. Using a questionnaire with twenty-three questions, fish photos, charts and geographic information system “GIS” analysis, information was obtained on 38 past and 93 present “potential” (not overlapping) spawning aggregation sites, spawning times, changes in species composition in time and space, spawning site fidelity, and 37 sites supporting multiple spawning species. General information covered a total of 52 species, primarily snappers (12), groupers (11), jacks (8) and scombrids (4). In addition, a diverse and useful range of socio-economic and biological comments were provided, mainly by commercial fishers, that may prove useful in designating and managing potential MPA’s.



reported fishing vessels concentrations in small areas for a limited time period during red hind spawning peaks were included (PR-DNER aerial Census). The study was planned following the “Reef Fish Spawning Aggregation Monitoring Protocol for the Wider Caribbean” from Will Heyman et al., 2002, and all data collected and entered in electronic navigation charts is being converted to ArcView 9.1 format in different layers: fishing aggregations, spawning aggregations, past spawning aggregations, sport fishermen fishing aggregation sites, and the observed boats positions during DNER aerial census.

Results

Figure 3, represents a collage of all Puerto Rico map sections showing the array of points/areas obtained during the interviews with fishermen. The “fishing aggregation points”, “spawning aggregation sites”, “past aggregation areas”, “sport-skin diving fishing aggregations”, and the DNER points (where commercial fishing boats were reported

Introduction

Spawning aggregations of coral reef fishes have biological and fishery importance (Domier and Colin, 1987; Domier et al., 2002), but many aggregating species (e.g. Nassau grouper, *Epinephelus striatus* and goliath grouper, *E. ajajaja*) have been overfished, some to the point of commercial extinction (Sadovy and Eklund, 1999; Colin et al., 2003). But, if management/conservation intervention occurs before complete collapse, they have the potential to recover. Many snappers and groupers, along with other fishes (e.g., Scaridae) form spawning aggregations each year at specific sites (Claro and Lindeman, 2003; Luckhurst, 2003). Snapper-grouper aggregations are vulnerable to fishing due to their life histories (e.g., longevity, slow growth to maturity and maximum size, low natural mortality rates) and the highly predictable occurrence of these aggregations in space and time (Coleman et al., 1996). Declines in number of aggregations impact commercial recreational fisheries, and produce a cascading effect on coral reefs. Although SPAGs are critical reproduction events in the life histories of many important and highly valuable commercial coral reef fishes, little has been documented for the Puerto Rican shelf in relation to their location, occurrences, persistence and species involved. Known spawning sites of aggregating fish species from Puerto Rico are only few, aside from several red hind (*Epinephelus guttatus*) sites in the South-West Coast of Puerto Rico (Colin et al., 1987; Shapiro et al., 1993; Sadovy et al., 1994a), for rock hind (*Epinephelus adscensionis*) mentioned in Colin et al., 1987, one site in Vieques Island for the tiger grouper (*Micropogonias tigris*) (White et al., 2002; Sadovy et al. 1994b) and one for mutton snapper (Sadovy et al. 1994b). Aggregations for Nassau grouper and the jewfish are gone, but have been mentioned by Sadovy and Eklund, 1999. This lack of critical information forms an obvious gap in the management database, as evidenced by the few spawning aggregation sites documented in the 2003 “Environmental Impact Statement of the Caribbean Fisheries Management Council” (CFMC), for the Puerto Rican waters.

Our aim is that this work will generate awareness of the importance of these aggregations. In addition, to provide direct information to local and federal agencies, which should promote conservation and management initiatives. Both, Commonwealth Department of Natural and Environmental Resources (DNER) and Federal (CFMC) fisheries agencies, and appropriate NGO’s will use this information to develop conservation-based management strategies, e.g., the closure of aggregation sites during spawning times; protection of sites as critical habitat. The selection of effective MPA’s areas to ensure effectiveness.




Figure 1.

Figure 2.




Figure 3.

Methods

The survey was based on voluntary interviews conducted to experienced fishery stakeholders. The selection of fishers was made attending the fisher’s experience, the type of fishing gears used, the coastal region of their fishing activity and the certainty of a positive and voluntary collaboration. A total of 50 full interviews were performed all around Puerto Rico including Vieques and Culebra islands, with the participation of commercial, sport fishermen (Blue water skin divers), and displaced fishers. The interview tool was prepared following the “fisher survey interview format guidelines” from the Society for the Conservation of Reef Fish Aggregation, 2003. Nautical charts for each region, and a set of aggregating fish species photos were used to identify different common names given to a same species at different coastal regions.

The questionnaire having a set of 23 questions was prepared to obtain information on: a) fishing experience, fishing gears and species fished, b) past and present spawning aggregations, bottom type, c) spawning times, d) site fidelity, e) multiple species spawning sites, f) changes in species composition in time and space, g) factors governing the SPAG’s events, h) general comments, and many other valuable information. The historical landing records were obtained from the (DNER) fishery dependent statistic program to document landings during species-specific reproduction seasons, i.e. (Figure 1, for *E. guttatus*) and (Figure 2, for *L. analis*). In addition,



during known red hind spawning events), are identified by their respective icon. During the survey we identified a total of 93 “Present Potential” (non-overlapping) spawning aggregation sites; 38 sites where fishermen considered that no longer exist large spawning aggregations, and 37 sites supporting multiple spawning species during year-round.

A total of 52 species, primarily snappers (12), groupers (11), jacks (8) and scombrids (4) were reported by the commercial fishermen as targets during fishing aggregations. The concept of fishing aggregation is usually related to reproduction processes by commercial fishers when ripe gonads are found. Table 1 summarizes, by groupers and snappers species, the frequency of fishermen identifying reproduction time by species. Special attention needs to be given to *E. fulvus*, *E. guttatus*, *E. striatus*, *M. venenosus*, *L. analis*, *L. mahogani*, *L. synagris*, and *O. crysurus*, where consistent spawning time reports were obtained for each species. In the overall of fishermen interviewed, 80% understands that the SPAG’s are yearly recurrent, with little displacement within an area, explained by different reasons fundamentally fishing pressure. The timing variability is attributed by fishers to different reasons: lunar phase (32%), water temperature (24%), water current/intensity events (17%), Tide period (16%) and others (10%).

Conclusions

A general observation is that experienced commercial scuba-divers (fishing with spearguns), are giving the most complete information on species, related bottom type, and aggregation sightings. With this preliminary information available, field verification and characterization of the sites will be needed to corroborate information before the start of the monitoring and management phases. The selection process for effective “Marine Protected Areas” (MPA’s) should include, among other considerations, the active commercial fishers’ participation during the implementation, and the evaluation of those identified multi-species reproduction sites to ensure effectiveness.

(Figure 1) Poster Presentation

Information In Press: (49th GCFI meeting Proceedings)

Introduction

Puerto Rico, like many other Caribbean islands, has been experiencing a steady decline in catches of commercially important marine fishes (Appeldoorn 1992, Nemeth 2005). Most of these species, e.g., snappers and groupers, along with other fishes (e.g., Scaridae) have gregarious reproduction strategies (Claro and Lindeman 2003, Luckhurst 2003), restricting their spawning aggregations to highly predictable occurrences in space and time (Coleman *et al.* 1996). This reproduction strategy, coupled to life history traits of long life, slow growth, late maturation, large size and low natural mortality, makes them highly vulnerable to commercial and sport fishing pressure (Coleman *et al.* 2000). Spawning aggregations of coral reef fishes are well known to have biological and fishery importance (Domeier and Colin 1997, Domeier *et al.* 2002), but many aggregating species (e.g., Nassau grouper, *Epinephelus striatus* and goliath grouper, *E. itajara*) have been over fished, some to the point of commercial extinction (Sadovy and Eklund 1999, Colin *et al.* 2003). Nevertheless, if management/conservation intervention occurs before complete collapse, they have the potential to recover.

Declines in spawning aggregations (SPAGs) can impact commercial/recreational fisheries and produce a cascading effect on coral reefs. Given the key importance of SPAGs for population reproduction and fishery exploitation, knowledge of their location, time of occurrence and status are critical for sustainable management. Nevertheless, in Puerto Rico little has been documented on SPAG locations, occurrences, persistence and the species involved. Known spawning sites of aggregating commercial fishes from Puerto Rico are few (Table 1, Figure 2), consisting of several red hind (*Epinephelus guttatus*) sites along the southwest coast (Colin *et al.* 1987, Shapiro *et al.* 1993, Sadovy *et al.* 1994a), one for rock hind (*Epinephelus adscensionis*) (mentioned in Colin *et al.* 1987), one site in Vieques for the tiger grouper (*Mycteroperca tigris*) (Sadovy *et al.* 1994b, White *et al.* 2002) and one for mutton snapper (*Lutjanus analis*) on the southwest coast (Figuerola and Torres 2001). Another site located on the southwest coast off Guánica Bay was reported by Colin and Clavijo (1988), where spawning aggregations were documented for several non-commercial species: creole wrasse (*Clepticus Parrae*), striped parrotfish (*Scarus iserti*), ocean surgeonfish (*Acanthurus bahianus*) and blue tang (*Acanthurus coeruleus*). Aggregations for Nassau grouper and jewfish occurred previously, but no longer exist (mentioned by Sadovy and Eklund 1999). This lack of critical information is an obvious gap in the management database, as evidenced by the few spawning aggregation sites documented in the “Environmental Impact Statement of the Caribbean Fisheries Management Council” (CFMC 2003) for Puerto Rican waters.

Table 1. Known spawning aggregations of commercially important species in Puerto Rico prior to this study and number of species now ascribed to these sites

Site	Location	Confirmed species	Number of species
El Hoyo	Southwest	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Epinephelus adscensionis</i>	9
Tourmaline	West	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>	9
Bajo de Sico	West	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>	6
Abril la Sierra	West	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Lutjanus analis</i>	10
El Seco	Vieques	<i>Mycteroperca tigris</i>	6




Figure 2. Known and verified spawning aggregations for Puerto Rico.

The main objectives of this work were to document “potential” spawning aggregation sites in the Puerto Rican Archipelago based on historical fishing activity by local artisanal commercial fishers (Colin *et al.* 1987) and more recently by some sport fishers who skin dive (Roberto Reyes and Jorge Rodriguez, “Apnea” Sport Fishing Group, and José Mario Cartagena, Puerto Rico Scuba, personal communications). Particular attention is given to snapper and grouper species with commercial importance. In addition, this work attempts to generate general public awareness of the importance of these aggregations and provide direct information to local and federal agencies to aid development of conservation and management initiatives.

Methods

The survey was conducted through voluntary interviews with experienced fishery stakeholders. The selection of fishers was made by considering the fisher’s experience, the type of fishing gear used, the coastal region of fishing activity and the certainty of a positive and voluntary collaboration. A total of 50 full interviews of commercial, sport fishermen (blue water skin divers), and displaced fishers were performed all around Puerto Rico including Vieques and Culebra islands. The interview tool was prepared following the “Fisher survey interview format general guidelines” from the Society for the Conservation of Reef Fish Aggregations (Sadovy 2003) and the “Reef Fish Spawning Aggregation Monitoring Protocol for the Wider Caribbean” (Heyman *et al.* 2002). Copies of nautical charts for each region were used to mark fishing and SPAGs sites during the interviews. A series of fish drawings, organized by families, were used to identify species, determine the different common names given to the same species in different coastal regions and collect additional information not mentioned in the other interview tools used.

A questionnaire with a set of 23 questions was prepared to obtain information on fisher characterization (age, fishing experience and source of fishing education, most frequent fishing areas, fishing gear used and fish species targeted), past and present known spawning aggregations with reference to bottom type, spawning times, spawning site fidelity, multispecies spawning sites, changes in species composition in time and space, factors governing SPAGs events, general comments as well as other valuable information.

To facilitate fisher selection, and in principle to have an even representation of fishers all around the insular shelf, the archipelago was subdivided into 12 artificially-delimited fishing zones. A total of four to six fishers were interviewed in each zone. For the north coast, where the island shelf is narrower and fisheries are more oriented to deep and pelagic species fishes, the number of fishermen interviewed was less than on the other coasts.

Historical landing records for main commercial species were obtained from the Fisheries Research Laboratory (FRL) of the Department of Natural and Environmental Resources (DNER) - Fishery Statistic Program (FSP) in order to document and compare commercial landings during species-specific reproduction seasons to data on the timing of reproductive aggregations obtained from the fishers. In addition, aerial surveys of fishing vessels concentrated in small areas for a limited time period during presumed red hind spawning peaks (Johnston *et al.* 2003, Nealson *et al.* 2004) were used to verify spatial data obtained in this study.

All fishing and SPAG data collected were first entered using electronic navigation charts, and were then converted to different geospatial layers using ArcView 9.1. Shapefiles were created for fishing aggregations, spawning aggregations, past spawning aggregations, sport fishermen fishing aggregation sites, and the observed boat positions during the 2002 and 2003 aerial censuses. For each site/area the type of species, fisher ID and bottom type reported by fishermen are available through the shapefiles. Due to the sensitive nature of this data and confidentiality between the fishers and the Principal Investigator, only general information will be disclosed. This will also protect identified sites from opportunistic fisheries, which was a condition for obtaining fishers' cooperation during interviews.

Results

Characterization Fishers Surveyed:

The 50 fishers interviewed during this study averaged 52 years of age, ranging from 27 to 92 years, and the average 37 years of fishing experience, ranging from 10 to 78 years. The study reflected a strong tradition of passing historical fishing knowledge to the next generation. When fishers were asked about their source of fishing knowledge, multiple sources were given, but the majority of interviewees answered that they learned fishing from other close family members such as fathers, grandfathers, uncles and brothers. Some responded that they learned from friends, others on their own (through trial and error experiences) and others from older fishermen. When asked if they were fishing in the same fishing areas since they started fishing, 37 fishers answered that they haven't changed their general fishing areas, but that they usually rotate fishing grounds to let them recover. However, 21 included some additional new fishing grounds, mainly due to a change (decrease) in fish abundance close to shore. The preferred fishing gear used by the fishers interviewed were (in order) fish traps and hook & line, followed by spearfishing (using scuba) and vertical drop-lines, in addition to other less frequently use gear. The highest number of fish species were captured using fishing traps.

The change of fishing grounds, usually deeper and closer to the shelf edge, were oriented to different target species, promoting a change in fishing gear to those that are more efficient and profitable, or in some specific cases, to less expensive gear. In other cases, some fish-trap fishers said they stopped using a gear due to declining catch rates and because their traps frequently were stolen. Different types of long-line fishing could, in the past, be used close to shore to target oceanic and coral reef species, but now fishermen using this type of gear need to travel near or beyond the shelf break, which increases their effort to make a living. The same has happened to fishers using fish traps, commercial spearfishing and hand collection methods. Today, all fishers must fish further from the coast and in deeper waters.

“Potential” Spawning Aggregation Sites:

Most fishers interviewed were aware that certain coral reef fish species aggregate at specific times and locations to reproduce. The majority acknowledged that they had personally fished spawning aggregations, which was generally evidenced by the fact that they consistently caught fish with ripe testes or ovaries and that the catch was comparatively large. In other instances, fishers identified all “fishing aggregations” as reproduction aggregations. This information was carefully evaluated in order to differentiate productive but non-reproductive fishing aggregation points or areas from spawning aggregations as the latter is frequently confused or assumed by commercial fishermen when fish are migrating to or are aggregated on foraging grounds.

Using fishers’ testimonies and their marks on navigational charts, maps were drawn depicting information on 27 known past spawning aggregation areas, main sport and commercial fishing aggregation target areas, and 93 present “potential” spawning aggregation sites, where 71 sites were supporting multiple species spawning throughout the year. A site was considered a multispecies site when two or more species were reported utilizing the same area to reproduce. Curiously, some of the sites mentioned as past spawning areas by one fisher were mentioned by others as still active but on a lesser scale, e.g., El Hoyo, a site off of La Parguera, in southwest Puerto Rico. All well-known, documented and verified spawning sites for *E. guttatus*, *E. adscensionis*, *L. analis* and *M. tigris* in Puerto Rico were repeatedly mentioned during the interviews, but in addition, all these known sites were identified to be multispecies spawning sites (Table 1). A total of 59 species, primarily snappers (12), groupers (11), jacks (7) and scombrids (5) were reported by commercial fishermen as targets for fishing or “potential spawning” aggregations.

A total of 134 aggregations were reported, spread across 93 locations with no overlapping areas. These locations were, in the majority of cases, related to areas at or close to the shelf edge, although other numerous reports were spread over the shelf. The species characterized by the greatest number of fisher observations was *Ocyurus chrysurus* (yellowtail snapper). This species was followed closely by *Lutjanus synagris* (lane snapper) and *L. analis* (mutton snapper). There were only 9 observations for two species of deep-water snapper; *Etelis oculatus* (queen snapper) and *L. vivanus* (silk snapper) with a possible fishing-aggregation peaking period in April. In the general area of Desecheo Island, five fishermen reported sixteen (16) fish species, mostly groupers, reproducing in aggregations.

Timing of Spawning Aggregation:


There were 1,321 positive responses from fishermen in terms of the timing of spawning aggregations for the snapper-grouper complex (Table 2). Sixty-seven percent of the reported aggregations of groupers fell between December and February. The main exception was *Cephalopholis fulva* (Coney), which was more widely spread across months, although major peaks occurred from December to February. In contrast, 50% of observations for snappers were largely concentrated in the period from March to June. The remaining observations were more evenly distributed all year round, but principally for *L. apodus*, *L. griseus*, *L. jocu*, *L. campechanus*, *L. mahogani*, *L. synagris* and *O. chrysurus*.

In general, variations in monthly catch records from 1996 to 2002 (Figure 3) supported fishers’ observations. Both the lane snapper (*L. apodus*) and yellowtail snapper (*O. chrysurus*) showed high variability in catch rates over the year, which matched fishers observations both in terms of variability and the suggested period of maximum spawning (Table 2, Figure 3). For the mutton snapper (*L. analis*), there were distinct peaks in catch that occurred from March to May, matching the limited spawning period reported. Similarly, the red hind showed peak catches in January and February, its limited period of spawning. However, this was not the case for Nassau grouper (*E. striatus*), where fishermen interviewed reported two spawning events: a major one during January-February and a minor one during August-September. Catch trends (Figure 3) show a peak only from July to September.


Of all fishers interviewed, 92% understood that the SPAGs are annually recurrent and show clear site fidelity. Very little displacement over time within an area was mentioned. This displacement was accounted for by different reasons but fundamentally due to yearly recurrent fishing pressure on the same area/site. Variability in spawning time, including the process of migration to their spawning grounds, is attributed by fishers to different reasons. In 90% of the interviews, fishers understood that the lunar phase is a critical factor determining the spawning process. Fishers also understood that other parameters might additionally be influencing the timing of fish spawning, including water temperature (68%), current intensity (50%), tide period (46%) and day length (26%). A general observation is that experienced commercial scuba-divers (fishing with spearguns) were the ones giving the most complete information on species, related bottom type, and aggregation sightings.

Table 2. Stakeholder observations of spawning aggregations by month for groupers and snappers. Shaded areas represent the obvious spawning peaks/fishing aggregations. Numbers represent the frequency of fishermen identifying spawning months at spawning sites by species identified.

Species	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov
<i>Epinephelus adscensionis</i>	8	7	5	1	1	1						2
<i>Cephalopholis cruentata</i>	2	5	4	1	1	1						1
<i>Cephalopholis fulva</i>	13	16	16	10	8	8	9	9	8	10	8	9
<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>	22	38	32						1	1	2	
<i>Epinephelus itajara</i>	3	1							1	1		1
<i>Epinephelus morio</i>	3	3	2	2								1
<i>Epinephelus striatus</i>	4	9	6	1					3	3		2
<i>Mycteroperca bonaci</i>	2	3	1	2	3	2						1
<i>Mycteroperca interstitialis</i>	2	3	1	1	2	2						1
<i>Mycteroperca tigris</i>	7	9	7	1								
<i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i>	8	14	9	2	1	1						3
<i>Lutjanus analis</i>	3	4	4	28	34	32	9	3	3	1	2	2
<i>Lutjanus apodus</i>	5	5	4	4	7	5	4	6	8	7	5	4
<i>Lutjanus campechanus</i>	1	1	1	2	2	1	3	3	2	2	2	1
<i>Lutjanus cyanopterus</i>		1	1	2	3	2	2	3	3			
<i>Lutjanus griseus</i>	2	4	4	3	4	4	4	5	5	1	1	2
<i>Lutjanus jocu</i>	2	2	2	4	4	4	6	6	5	2	2	2
<i>Lutjanus mahogani</i>	10	10	10	16	17	18	11	11	10	7	9	9
<i>Lutjanus synagris</i>	11	11	11	19	21	21	13	12	11	9	11	11
<i>Lutjanus vivanus & bucanella</i>				2	2	1						
<i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i>	17	17	24	38	42	35	29	22	19	22	25	22
<i>Etelis oculatus</i>				1	2	1						




(a)




(b)


Figure 3. Catch trends of commercial landings (Kg) in Puerto Rico from 1996 to 2002 for: a) Mutton snapper (*L. analis*) b) Red hind (*E. guttatus*), c) Lane snapper (*L. synagris*), d) Yellowtail snapper (*O. chrysurus*) and e) Nassau grouper (*E. striatus*).



(c)



(d)



(e)

(Cont.) Figure 3. Catch trends of commercial landings (Kg) in Puerto Rico from 1996 to 2002 for: a) Mutton snapper (*L. analis*) b) Red hind (*E. guttatus*), c) Lane snapper (*L. synagris*), d) Yellowtail snapper (*O. chrysurus*) and e) Nassau grouper (*E. striatus*).

When fishermen were asked if they had noted a change in the size of fish over time, 28 interviewees responded yes, 20 no, and two answered “do not know”. The most common reasons given for these changes were due to overfishing, oil spills, and increase of sedimentation from runoff; however many responded that they didn’t know the reason.

Discussion

The locations of fishing boats determined from aerial surveys at the time of known red hind spawning events showed boats to be clustered at specific points, and that there was a close match between these points and the fishing aggregations reported by fishers. Most of the boats were located at or very near to the shelf edge, similar to the pattern found in this study. This shelf edge location of many aggregation sites has been validated in Puerto Rico for the red hind, the mutton snapper, the rock hind and the tiger grouper (Colin *et al.* 1987, Shapiro *et al.* 1993, Sadovy *et al.* 1994a, Figuerola and Torres 2001, White *et al.* 2002, Sadovy *et al.* 1994b), and by studies elsewhere, for example mutton snapper (Thompson and Munro 1974, Johannes 1978, Claro 1981). This spatial congruence and variations in monthly catch rates strongly suggest that fishers indeed target fishing spawning aggregations. While the

results of this study support that of Heyman *et al.* (2002), indicating that many aggregations have strong site fidelity, as they occur in exactly the same location each year, other studies suggest that aggregations may shift from their traditional sites when heavy fishing pressure or disruption from divers occurs (Aguilar-Perera 2000, Heyman *et al.* 2002). The identification by fishers of 27 past SPAGs is a clear example the impact of extensive and unregulated fishing of aggregations has had in Puerto Rico, unfortunately a result that has occurred elsewhere throughout the tropics (Claydon 2004).

Usually the best means of obtaining information on spawning aggregations is compiling traditional knowledge from resource users (Heyman *et al.* 2002, Rhodes and Sadovy 2002). The main importance of this study is that it documents, for the first time, what areas are the most important for the commercial fisheries in Puerto Rico, and rescues the knowledge gained from the experiences of past and present local fisher generations on potential fish spawning aggregation sites including those that occurred in the past and where they continue to occur in the present. This critical information is urgently needed in order to preserve their persistence at a time when many stressors, such as sedimentation runoff, coastal contamination, climate change, natural hazards (e.g., hurricanes) and overfishing, are constantly affecting reef fish populations. Cornish (2005), mentioned that the SCRFA database has 557 records of spawning aggregations reported, comprising of 119 species from 18 families. However, many aggregations have yet to be validated. Therefore, the results of this work (61 fish species in 93 non-overlapping spawning sites) should be interpreted as preliminary information until field verification and characterization of the sites can be performed.

Given the potential importance of the spawning aggregations, management should incorporate the obtained into validation, monitoring and management regulations. The detailed shapefiles on fishing aggregations, spawning aggregations, past spawning aggregations, sport fishermen fishing aggregation sites, and the observed fishing boat positions during 2002 and 2003 for each site/area, including the type of species, fisher ID, bottom type and comments reported by fishermen will be available to management agencies. Both the Puerto Rico DNER and the federal Caribbean Fisheries Management Council, as well as appropriate NGOs and academic scientists are encouraged to use this information to develop conservation-based management strategies, e.g., the closure of aggregation sites during spawning times and the protection of sites as critical habitat. Furthermore, this information should be used in the process of selecting marine areas to be designated as MPAs.

Regardless of the management regime developed, it is imperative that fishers and other stakeholders are actively involved in planning and implementation. It was through the willing cooperation of fishers that the information from this study was obtained, and fishers can be additional sources of knowledge and strong partners in management if a relationship is properly cultivated.

Acknowledgments

We want to acknowledge the University of Puerto Rico Sea Grant College Program, which initially supported the preliminary phase of this study with a seed-money grant, and we want to thank the Caribbean Coral Reef Institute, University of Puerto Rico-Mayagüez for funding the project. We also would like to thank all the fishers who voluntarily provided all the critical and sensitive data for this project and Dr. Alfonso Aguilar who helped in the initial phases of the project, and to Idelfonso Ruiz who was in charge to translate the information to the GIS format. Lastly, we acknowledge the who assisted in the fisher interviews: Mayrim Bacó, Norberto Medina, Idelfonso Ruiz and Edgardo Ojeda (Jr).


LITERATURE CITED

- Aguilar-Perera, J.A. 2000. Stock analysis for an aggregating reef fish, the Nassau grouper *Epinephelus striatus* (Pisces: Serranidae) from the Mexican Caribbean. MS Thesis, UPR-Mayagüez, 85 p.
- Appeldoorn, R.S, J. Beets, J. Bohnsack, S. Bolden, D. Matos, S. Meyers, A. Rosario, Y. Sadovy and W. Tobias. 1992. Shallow water reef fish stock assessment for the U.S. Caribbean.
- Claro, R. and K.C. Lindeman. 2003. Spawning aggregation sites for snappers and groupers species (Lutjanidae and Serranidae) on the insular shelf of Cuba. Gulf and Caribbean Research 14: 91-106.
- Claydon, J. 2004. Spawning aggregations of coral reef fishes: characteristics, hypothesis, treats and management. Oceanography and Marine Biology: An annual review 2004: 42, 265-302.


- Coleman, F.C., C.C. Koenig and L.A. Collins. 1996. Reproductive styles of shallow-water groupers (Pisces: Serranidae) in the eastern Gulf of Mexico and the consequences of fishing aggregations. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 47: 129-141.
- Coleman, F.C., C.C. Koenig, G.R. Huntsman, J.A. Musik, A.M. Eklund, J.C. McGovern, R.W. Chapman, G.R. Sedberry, and C.B. Grimes. 2000. Long-lived Reef Fishes: The Grouper-Snapper Complex. *Fisheries* Vol. 25, No. 3: 14-20.
- Colin, P.L. and I.E. Clavijo. 1988. Spawning activity of fishes producing pelagic eggs on a shelf edge coral reef, southwestern Puerto Rico. *Bull. Mar. Sci* 43(2): 249-279.
- Colin, P.L., D.Y. Shapiro, and D. Weiler. 1987. Preliminary investigations of reproduction of two species of groupers. *Epinephelus guttatus* and *E. striatus* in the West Indies. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* 40: 220-230.
- Colin, P.L., Y. Sadovy and M.L. Domeier. 2003. Manual for the Study and Conservation of Reef Fish Spawning
- Cornish, A. S. 2005. Development and Summary of Global Spawning Aggregation Database. Society for the Conservation of Reef Fish Spawning Aggregations. www.scrfa.org. pp 16.
- Domeier, M.L. and P.L. Colin. 1997. Tropical reef fish spawning aggregations: defined and reviewed. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 60: 698-726.
- Domeier, M.L., P.L. Colin, T.J. Donaldson, W.D. Heyman, J.S. Pet, M. Russell, Y. Sadovy, M.A. Samoilys, A. Smith, B.M. Yeeting and S. Smith. 2002. Transforming Coral Reef Conservation: Reef Fish Spawning Aggregations Component. Spawning Aggregation Working Group Report. The Nature Conservancy, 85 pp.
- Figuerola, M., W. Torres. 2001. Aspectos de la biología reproductiva de la sama (*Lutjanus analis*) en Puerto Rico y recomendaciones para su manejo. Departamento de Recursos Naturales y Ambientales de Puerto Rico, Informe final de proyecto.
- Heyman, W., B. Luckhurst, M. Paz, and K. Rhodes. 2002. Reef fish Spawning Aggregation Monitoring Protocol For the Wider Caribbean. < http://conserveonline.org/docs/2003/01/Spag_protocol_for_Caribbean_GCFI.PDF>
- Johnston, S.V., P.A. Nealson, K.K. Kumagai, M.A. Timko and G.W. Tritt. 2002. Hydroacoustic evaluation of spawning red hind (*Epinephelus guttatus*) aggregations along the coast of Puerto Rico in February 2002. Report by Hydroacoustic Technology, Inc. 715 NE Northlake Way, Seattle, WA 98105 USA for the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico Department of Natural Resources.
- Luckhurst, B.E. 2003. Development of a Caribbean regional conservation strategy for reef fish spawning aggregations. *Proceedings of the Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute* 54: 668-679.
- Nealson, P.A., G.W. Tritt, M.A. Timko, K.K. Kumagai and S.V. Johnston. 2004. Hydroacoustic evaluation of spawning red hind (*Epinephelus guttatus*) aggregations along the coast of Puerto Rico in March 2003. Report by Hydroacoustic Technology, Inc. 715 NE Northlake Way, Seattle, WA 98105 USA for NOAA/NMFS Southeast Fisheries Science Center F/SEC
- Nemeth, R.S. 2005. Population characteristics of a recovering US Virgin Islands red hind spawning aggregation following protection.
- Sadovy, Y. and A. Eklund. 1999. Synopsis of the biological data on the Nassau grouper *Epinephelus striatus* (Bloch, 1792) and the Jewfish, *E. itajara* (Lichtenstein, 1822). NOAA tech Report NMFS 146, 65 pp. Aggregations. Society for the Conservation of Reef Fish Aggregations Sp. Pub. No. 1 (Version 1.0), pp 1-98+iii
- Sadovy, Y., A. Rosario and A. Román. 1994a. Reproduction in an aggregating grouper, the red hind, *Epinephelus guttatus*. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 41: 269-286.
- Sadovy, Y., P.L. Colin, M.L. Domeier. 1994b. Aggregation and spawning in the tiger grouper, *Mycteroperca tigris* (Pisces: Serranidae). *Copeia* 1994: 511-516.
- Sadovy, Y. 2003. Fisher Survey Interview Format General Guidelines. Society for the Conservation of Reef Fish Aggregations - Fisher interview survey: guidelines for interviews. <www.scrfa.org/server/studying/doc/Fisher_Survey_Interview.pdf>
- Shapiro, D.Y., Y. Sadovy and M.A. McGehee. 1993. Size, composition, and spatial structure of the annual spawning aggregation of the Red hind, *Epinephelus guttatus* (Pisces: Serranidae). *Copeia* 1993: 399-406.
- White, D.B., Wyanski, D.M., Eleby, B.M. and Lilyestrom, C.G. 2002. Tiger grouper (*Mycteroperca tigris*): Profile of a spawning aggregation. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* 70: 233-240.

APPENDIX 1

‘Geographic Maps of “past” and present Reef Fish Spawning Aggregations of the Puerto Rican shelf’



APPENDIX 1A - 1 Zone 1




APPENDIX 1B – Zone 1 ‘Desecheo Island’

Zone 1 - (Northwest region, from Quebradillas Town to the Añasco River)

“Potential SPAG site”	Species	Aggregation status
El Rabo de Aguadilla (A large ‘tail-like’ shelf area)	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Epinephelus itajara</i> <i>Epinephelus morio</i> <i>Epinephelus striatus</i> <i>Lutjanus analis</i> <i>Lutjanus apodus</i> <i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i> <i>Balistes vetula</i> <i>Scomberomorus regalis</i> <i>Etelis oculatus</i> <i>Canthidermis sufflamen</i> <i>Cephalopholis cruentata</i>	
Los Arenales	<i>Lutjanus analis</i> <i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i>	
Los Brujos	<i>Lutjanus analis</i> <i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i>	

Zone 1 - (Cont.)

"Potential SPAG site"	Species	Aggregation status
Rincón	<i>Ocyurus Chrysurus</i> <i>Lutjanus apodus</i> <i>Lutjanus analis</i> <i>Sparisoma viride</i> <i>Selar crumenophthalmus</i>	Declined
Añasco Bay	<i>Lutjanus mahogani</i> <i>Lutjanus synagris</i>	
Desecheo Island	<i>Cephalopholis fulva</i> <i>Epinephelus striatus</i> <i>Epinephelus itajara</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i> <i>Lutjanus bucanella</i> <i>Lutjanus vivanus</i> <i>Scomberomorus cavalla</i> <i>(Acanthocybium solanderi)</i> <i>(Coriphaena hippurus)</i>	Declined



APPENDIX 1C – Zone 2


APPENDIX 1D – Zone 2 ‘Mona Island’

Zone 2 – (Southwest region, from the Añasco River to the Cabo Rojo Lighthouse)

“Potential SPAG site”	Species	Aggregation status
El medio	<i>Lutjanus bucanella</i> <i>Lutjanus vivanus</i>	
Corona del Sur	<i>Lutjanus bucanella</i> <i>Lutjanus vivanus</i> <i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>	
Bajo de Cico	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i> <i>Etelis oculatus</i> <i>Lutjanus vivanus</i> <i>(Coriphaena hippurus)?</i>	
Abril La Sierra	<i>Lutjanus analis</i> <i>Lutjanus vivanus</i> <i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Epinephelus adscensionis</i> <i>Cephalopholis fulva</i>	

Zone 2 – (Cont.)

"Potential SPAG site"	Species	Aggregation status
Abril La Sierra (Cont.)	<i>Lactophrys bicaudalis</i> <i>Scarus vetula</i> <i>Scarus taeniopterus</i> <i>Scarus guacamaia</i> <i>Sparisoma aurofrenatum</i> <i>Sparisoma viride</i> <i>Lachnolaimus maximus</i>	
Tourmaline	<i>Lutjanus analis</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Epinephelus adscensionis</i> <i>Cephalopholis fulva</i> <i>Balistes vetula</i> <i>Sparisoma aurofrenatum</i> <i>Scarus taeniopterus</i> <i>Sparisoma viride</i>	
Buoy 4	<i>Lactophrys bicaudalis</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Epinephelus striatus</i> <i>Scarus guacamaia</i> <i>Scarus vetula</i> <i>Scarus taeniopterus</i> <i>Sparisoma aurofrenatum</i> <i>Sparisoma viride</i> <i>Canthidermis sufflamen</i>	
Monito	<i>Lutjanus apodus</i> <i>Cephalopholis fulva</i>	
Caigo o no Caigo (Mona)	<i>Epinephelus striatus</i>	
South of Pájaros (Mona)	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Epinephelus striatus</i> <i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i> <i>Mycteroperca tigris</i>	
East of Mona Island	<i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i>	
Cabo Este (Mona)	<i>Mycteroperca bonaci</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Epinephelus striatus</i> <i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i> <i>Mycteroperca tigris</i>	




APPENDIX 1E- Zone 3

Zone 3 – (Southwestern coast from Cabo Rojo Lighthouse to Punta Cucharas)

“Potential SPAG site”	Species	Aggregation status
La Parguera Bay Area	<i>Epinephelus striatus</i>	
	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>	
<i>(Primera Mella, Cuarta Mella,</i>	<i>Epinephelus adscensionis</i>	
<i>El Hoyo, Banco de Los Meros</i>	<i>Cephalopholis cruentata</i>	
<i>and Cayo Media Luna)</i>	<i>Lutjanus analis</i>	
	<i>Lutjanus apodus</i>	
	<i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i>	
	<i>Mycteroperca tigris</i>	
	<i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i>	
	<i>Lachnolaimus maximus</i>	
	<i>Haemulon plumieri</i>	
	<i>Haemulon sciurus</i>	
<i>El Hoyo</i>	<i>Epinephelus adscensionis</i>	Declined
<i>Cuarta Mella</i>	<i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i>	Declined
	<i>Epinephelus striatus</i>	Declined

Zone 3 – (Cont.)

“Potential SPAG site”	Species	Aggregation status	
Guánica Bay Area	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>		
	<i>Epinephelus striatus</i>		
	<i>Epinephelus adscensionis</i>		
	<i>Lutjanus mahogani</i>		
	<i>Lutjanus synagris</i>		
	<i>Lutjanus analis</i>		
	<i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i>		
	<i>Acanthurus chirurgus</i>		
	<i>Acanthurus coeruleus</i>		
	<i>Scarus guacamaia</i>		
	<i>Scarus vetula</i>		
	<i>Scarus taeniopterus</i>		
	<i>Sparisoma aurofrenatum</i>		
	<i>Sparisoma viride</i>		
	<i>Scomberomorus regalis</i>		
	Guánica shelf edge	<i>Epinephelus striatus</i>	Declined
		<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>	Declined
<i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i>		Declined	
<i>Epinephelus adscensionis</i>		Declined	
Guayanilla Bay Area	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>		
	<i>Epinephelus adscensionis</i>		
	<i>Lutjanus analis</i>		
	<i>Lutjanus mahogani</i>		
	<i>Lutjanus synagris</i>		
	<i>Lutjanus apodus</i>		
	<i>Lutjanus cyanopterus</i>		
	<i>Lutjanus griseus</i>		
	<i>Lutjanus jocu</i>		
	<i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i>		
	<i>Trachinotus falcatus</i>		
	<i>Scomberomorus regalis</i>		
	<i>Thunnus atlanticus</i>		
	<i>Thunnus alalunga</i>		
	<i>Caranx bartholomei</i>		
Guayanilla Bay Area (Cont.)	<i>Caranx crysos</i>		
	<i>Caranx hippos</i>		
	<i>Caranx latus</i>		
	<i>Sparisoma viride</i>		
	<i>Sparisoma aurofrenatum</i>		
	<i>Scarus vetula</i>		
	<i>Scarus guacamaia</i>		
	<i>Scarus taeniopterus</i>		
	<i>Epinephelus adscensionis</i>	Declined	
	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>	Declined	




APPENDIX 1F – Zone 4

Zone 4 - (South center coast of Puerto Rico, from Punta Cucharas to Guayama)

“Potential SPAG site”	Species	Aggregation status
West of Caja de Muerto	<i>Caranx latus</i> <i>Mycteroperca tigris</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Epinephelus striatus</i> <i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i>	
Caja de Muerto	<i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i> <i>Scomberomorus regalis</i> <i>Scarus guacamaia</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Epinephelus striatus</i> <i>Epinephelus morio</i> <i>Lutjanus mahogani</i> <i>Lutjanus synagris</i> <i>Lutjanus analis</i> <i>Lactophrys bicaudalis</i> <i>Canthidermis sufflamen</i> <i>Caranx bartholomei</i> <i>Caranx hippos</i>	

Zone 4 – (Cont.)

“Potential SPAG site”	Species	Aggregation status
North of Caja de Muerto Boca Chica	<i>Lutjanus analis</i> <i>Lutjanus apodus</i> <i>Lutjanus campechanus</i> <i>Lutjanus cyanopterus</i> <i>Lutjanus griseus</i> <i>Lutjanus jocu</i> <i>Scomberomorus regalis</i>	
Isla del Frío (close to shore)	<i>Lutjanus mahogani</i> <i>Lutjanus synagris</i> <i>Centropomus undecimalis</i>	
Boca Mateo	<i>Epinephelus itajara</i> <i>Sphyaena barracuda</i>	
Berbería	<i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i> <i>Epinephelus striatus</i> <i>Canthidermis sufflamen</i> <i>Lutjanus mahogani</i> <i>Lutjanus synagris</i>	
Santa Isabel Area	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Lutjanus mahogani</i> <i>Lutjanus synagris</i> <i>Mycteroperca tigris</i> <i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i> <i>Epinephelus adscensionis</i> <i>Cephalopholis fulva</i> <i>Lutjanus analis</i> <i>Lutjanus apodus</i> <i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i>	
Santa Isabel Area (Cont.)	<i>Scomberomorus regalis</i> <i>Caranx hippos</i> <i>Caranx crysos</i> <i>Caranx latus</i>	
Salinas Bay Area	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Lutjanus mahogani</i> <i>Lutjanus synagris</i> <i>Lutjanus apodus</i> <i>Caranx crysos</i> <i>Caranx bartholomaei</i> <i>Caranx hippos</i> <i>Scomberomorus regalis</i> <i>Hemiramphus brasiliensis</i> <i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i> <i>Shyaena picudilla</i> <i>Trachinotus falcatus</i>	
South of Guayama (shelf edge)	<i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i>	Declined




APPENDIX 1G – Zone 5

Zone 5 - (Southeastern coast of Puerto Rico, from Guayama to Punta Guayanés)

“Potential SPAG site”	Species	Aggregation status
Escollo Grappler	<i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i> <i>Mycteroperca tigris</i> <i>Mycteroperca bonaci</i> <i>Mycteroperca interstitialis</i> <i>Epinephelus adscensionis</i> <i>Epinephelus morio</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Cephalopholis fulva</i> <i>Cephalopholis cruentata</i> <i>Lutjanus cyanopterus</i> <i>Lutjanus griseus</i> <i>Acanthocibium solanderi</i>	
Los Guajiles	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Lutjanus analis</i> <i>Lutjanus apodus</i> <i>Lutjanus campechanus</i> <i>Lutjanus jocu</i> <i>Mycteroperca tigris</i> <i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i> <i>Sparisoma aurofrenatum</i> <i>Scarus taeniopterus</i>	

Zone 5 - (Cont.)

"Potential SPAG site"	Species	Aggregation status
Las coronas and Media Luna (Patillas)	<i>Acanthurus chirurgus</i> <i>Acanthurus coeruleus</i> <i>Holocentrus adscensionis</i> <i>Lutjanus campechanus</i> <i>Lachnolaimus maximus</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Lactophrys bicaudalis</i> <i>Melichthys niger</i> <i>Xanthichthys ringens</i> <i>Scarus guacamaia</i> <i>Scarus vetula</i>	
La Conga (Yabucoa)	<i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i> <i>Mycteroperca tigris</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Lutjanus analis</i> <i>Lactophrys bicaudalis</i> <i>Lutjanus griseus</i> <i>Lutjanus jocu</i> <i>Canthidermis sufflamen</i>	




APPENDIX 1H – Zone 6

Zone 6 - (Southeast coast of Puerto Rico, from Punta Guayanés to Punta Puerca)

“Potential SPAG site”	Species	Aggregation status
La Conga	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Epinephelus adscensionis</i>	
El Gongolí	<i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Mycteroperca tigris</i>	
La Bacha	<i>Epinephelus Morio</i>	
El Cayul	<i>Epinephelus Morio</i>	
Tubo	<i>Epinephelus Morio</i>	
Cable	<i>Epinephelus Morio</i>	
Poza	<i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i> <i>Mycteroperca tigris</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>	
La Poza	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Coriphaena hippurus</i> <i>Seriola dumerili</i> <i>Balistes vetula</i> <i>Sphyaena barracuda</i> <i>Scomberomorus regalis</i>	Declined Declined Declined Declined Declined Declined

Zone 6 - (Cont.)


"Potential SPAG site"	Species	Aggregation status
Tiburón	<i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i> <i>Mycteroperca tigris</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>	
Los Banquitos	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Mycteroperca bonaci</i> <i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i> <i>Lachnolaimus maximus</i>	
Popetito	<i>Lachnolaimus maximus</i> <i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Mycteroperca tigris</i>	
Buoy 7	<i>Lutjanus mahogani</i> <i>Lutjanus synagris</i> <i>Lachnolaimus maximus</i> <i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i>	
Tres Patas	<i>Lutjanus apodus</i> <i>Lutjanus analis</i> <i>Lutjanus campechanus</i> <i>Scarus guacamaia</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>	Declined



APPENDIX II – Zone 7

Zone 7 - (Northeast coast of Puerto Rico, from Punta Puerca to Rio Grande de Loiza)

“Potential SPAG site”	Species	Aggregation status
Punta Puerca	<i>Lutjanus analis</i>	
Bajo Chinchorro	<i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i>	
Las Piraguas	<i>Lutjanus mahogani</i> <i>Lutjanus synagris</i> <i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i>	
Bajo Blake	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>	
Palomino	<i>Cephalopholis cruentata</i> <i>Cephalopholis fulva</i> <i>Lutjanus analis</i> <i>Lactophrys bicaudalis</i>	
Isla Blanquilla (Cordillera)	<i>Lutjanus jocu</i>	
Cayo Lobo (Cordillera)	<i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i>	
Icacos	<i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i>	
La Cucaracha Rajá	<i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i> <i>Scomberomorus regalis</i>	
Las Picúas Buoy	<i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i>	
Punta San Agustín	<i>Lutjanus analis</i>	




APPENDIX 1J – Zone 8

Zone 8 - (North coast of Puerto Rico, from Rio Grande de Loiza to Rio La Plata, Dorado)

“Potential SPAG site”	Species	Aggregation status
La Cáncora	<i>Lutjanus apodus</i> <i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i> <i>Cephalopholis fulva</i> <i>Epinephelus adscensionis</i> <i>Mycteroperca interstitialis</i> <i>Mycteroperca bonaci</i> <i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i>	
María Grande	<i>Cephalopholis fulva</i> <i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i>	
Puerta de Tierra (Morro)	<i>Scomberomorus regalis</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>	
San Juan Bay	<i>Lutjanus griseus</i> <i>Lutjanus synagris</i> <i>Scomberomorus regalis</i> <i>Caranx bartholomaei</i> <i>Caranx crysos</i> <i>Centropomus undecimalis</i> <i>Odontoscium dentex</i> <i>Cephalopholis fulva</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i>	

Zone 8 - (Cont.)


"Potential SPAG site"	Species	Aggregation status
North of Palo Seco	<i>Cephalopholis fulva</i> <i>Lutjanus analis</i> <i>Lutjanus griseus</i> <i>Lutjanus mahogani</i> <i>Lutjanus synagris</i> <i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Scomberomorus cavalla</i>	
Northwest of Isla de Cabras	<i>Lutjanus cyanopterus</i>	
Punta Salinas	<i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i> <i>Lutjanus jocu</i>	
La Peña del Perro	<i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i> <i>Cephalopholis fulva</i>	
North of Dorado Hotel	<i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i> <i>Cephalopholis fulva</i>	
El Morro	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>	Declined
Inside the San Juan Bay	<i>Epinephelus itajara</i> <i>Centropomus undecimalis</i>	Declined Declined
El Morro (Shelf edge)	<i>Etelis oculatus</i>	Declined



APPENDIX IK – Zone 9

Zone 9 – (North coast of Puerto Rico, from La Plata River, Dorado to Río Grande de Manatí)


“Potential SPAG site”	Species	Aggregation status
La Boca (Barceloneta)	<i>Scomberomorus regalis</i>	
Malchiquita	<i>Lutjanus mahogani</i>	
Los Tubos de Manatí	<i>Lutjanus griseus</i> <i>Lutjanus analis</i> <i>Lutjanus apodus</i> <i>Lutjanus cyanopterus</i> <i>Lutjanus jocu</i> <i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i>	
Cibuco	<i>Lutjanus analis</i>	
North of Isleta de Garza	<i>Aluterus monocerus</i>	
Cerro Gordo	<i>Lutjanus analis</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Lutjanus mahogani</i> <i>Lutjanus synagris</i>	Declined Declined Declined
West of Punta Fraile	<i>Mycteroperca interstitialis</i> <i>Mycteroperca bonaci</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Lutjanus mahogani</i> <i>Lutjanus synagris</i>	Declined Declined Declined
West of Punta de Puerto Nuevo	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>	Declined



APPENDIX IL – Zone 10

Zone 10 – (North coast of Puerto Rico, from the Río Grande de Manatí to Quebradillas)


“Potential SPAG site”	Species	Aggregation status
Punta Caracoles	<i>Scomberomorus regalis</i>	
El Negro	<i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i> <i>Scomberomorus regalis</i> <i>Lutjanus synagris</i>	
Las Gatas (Quebradillas)	<i>Scomberomorus regalis</i>	
Punta Manglillo (Hatillo)	<i>Lutjanus analis</i>	Declined
Chimenea (Camuy)	<i>Lutjanus analis</i> ‘Groupers’	Declined Declined
Peñón Amador	<i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i> ‘Groupers’	Declined Declined



APPENDIX 1M – Zone 11

Zone 11 – (Vieques Island, East of Puerto Rico)

“Potential SPAG site”	Species	Aggregation status
El Banco and El Banquito (Southwest of Vieques)	<i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Mycteroperca tigris</i> <i>Canthidermis sufflamen</i> <i>Lutjanus analis</i>	
Rubia (South of La Esperanza)	<i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i> <i>Mycteroperca tigris</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>	
Media Luna (Vieques)	<i>Lutjanus analis</i> <i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i>	
OP (Vieques)	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Epinephelus striatus</i> <i>Mycteroperca tigris</i> <i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i>	
Punta Este de Vieques	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Epinephelus morio</i> <i>Epinephelus striatus</i> <i>Haemulon album</i> <i>Lutjanus apodus</i> <i>Lutjanus cyanopterus</i> <i>Mycteroperca bonaci</i> <i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i>	
El Seco	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i> <i>Mycteroperca bonaci</i> <i>Mycteroperca tigris</i> <i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i> <i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i> <i>Lutjanus analis</i>	



APPENDIX 1N

Zone 12 – (Culebra Island, East of Puerto Rico)

“Potential SPAG site”	Species	Aggregation status
Grampusos	<i>Canthidermis sufflamen</i>	
	<i>Mycteroperca bonaci</i>	
	<i>Melichthys niger</i>	
	<i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i>	
Los Corchos	<i>Balistes vetula</i>	
	<i>Sparisoma aurofrenatum</i>	
	<i>Scarus taeniopterus</i>	
	<i>Sparisoma viride</i>	
	<i>Lachnolaimus maximus</i>	Declined
	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>	Declined
	<i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i>	Declined
	<i>Epinephelus itajara</i>	Declined
	<i>Epinephelus striatus</i>	Declined
<i>Mycteroperca tigris</i>	Declined	
Entrance of Ensenada Honda Bay	<i>Lutjanus synagris</i>	
Punta Solado	<i>Trachinotus falcatus</i>	
	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>	Declined
South of Cayo Luis Peña	<i>Lutjanus synagris</i>	
	<i>Lachnolaimus maximus</i>	Declined

Luis Peña Reserve	<i>Lutjanus analis</i> <i>Lachnolaimus maximus</i> <i>Balistes vetula</i> <i>Epinephelus striatus</i>	Declined Declined Declined
Cayo Lobo	<i>Trachinotus falcatus</i>	
Bola de Funche	<i>Cephalopholis fulva</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>	
Playa Soni	<i>Trachinotus falcatus</i>	
Cayo Norte	<i>Epinephelus adscensionis</i> <i>Mycteroperca venenosa</i> <i>Scomberomorus regalis</i> <i>Epinephelus itajara</i> <i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>	Declined Declined
Cayo Ballena	<i>Ocyurus chrysurus</i> <i>Epinephelus itajara</i> <i>Lutjanus apodus</i> <i>Lutjanus jocu</i> <i>Vomer setapinnis</i>	
La Cala (North shelf edge)	<i>Thunnus alalunga</i> <i>Lutjanus vivanus</i> <i>Lutjanus bucanella</i>	
Bongo	<i>Epinephelus morio</i>	Declined
La Pasa de Matías (North shelf edge)	<i>Epinephelus guttatus</i>	Declined