

Work in Progress: Building Career Goals and Boosting Self-efficacy in Engineering Students

Dr. Sonia M. Bartolomei-Suarez, University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez Campus

Sonia M. Bartolomei-Suarez is a Professor of Industrial Engineering at the University of Puerto Rico Mayagüez (UPRM). She graduated with a BS in Industrial Engineering from UPRM (1983), a MSIE (1985) from Purdue University, and a PhD in Industrial Engineering (1996) from The Pennsylvania State University. Her teaching and research interests include: Discrete Event Simulation, Facilities Planning, Material Handling Systems, Women in Academia in STEM fields, Engineering in Education and Access to Post-Secondary Education. From August 2006 through February 2008, she was the Associate Dean of Academic Affairs of the College of Engineering. She was Co-Pi of the NSF's UPRM ADVANCE IT Catalyst Project awarded during 2008. From 2008-2016, she was Co-PI of the USDE's Puerto Rico College Access Challenge Grant Project. From 2015-2018, she was the Coordinator of the UPRM College of Engineering Recruitment, Retention and Distance Engineering Education Program (R2DEEP). Currently, she is Co-PI of the project "Recruiting, Retaining, and Engaging Academically Talented Students from Economically Disadvantaged Groups into a Pathway to Successful Engineering Careers," sponsored by NSF DUE.

Dr. Carla Lopez del Puerto, University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez Campus

Carla López del Puerto, Ph.D. is a Professor of Construction Engineering and Management in the Department of Civil Engineering at The University of Puerto Rico - Mayagüez Campus.

Dr. Pedro O. Quintero, UPRM

Pedro Quintero earned a B.S. in mechanical engineering from the University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez and an M.S. from that same institution. After spending nine years in the electronics industry, he joined the University of Maryland, College Park, where he earned a Ph.D. degree in mechanical engineering. He joined the Department of Mechanical Engineering of the University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez, in 2008 as an Assistant Professor. He is now Professor of Mechanical Engineering at UPRM's Department of Mechanical Engineering.

Dr. Luisa Guillemard, University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez Campus

Luisa Guillemard is a psychology professor at the University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez Campus. She has a M.S. in Clinical Psychology from the Caribbean Center of Advanced Studies in Puerto Rico [today the Carlos Albizu University] and a Ph.D. in Educational Psychology from Texas A&M University, post-graduate training in evaluation from The Evaluators Institute (TEI) at George Washington University and the AEA/CDC Summer Evaluation Institute. Besides teaching, she has worked as an evaluator in grants awarded by the National Science Foundation (NSF), National Institutes of Health (NIH), US Department of Agriculture (USDA), and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). Currently she is the internal evaluator for the projects Recruiting, Retaining and Engaging Academically Talented Students from Economically Disadvantaged Groups into a Pathway to Successful Engineering Careers (PEARLS) and for Building Capacity at Collaborative Undergraduate STEM Program in Resilient and Sustainable Infrastructure (RISE-UP). Both projects are funded by NSF.

Dr. Aidsa I. Santiago-Román, University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez Campus

Dr. Aidsa I. Santiago-Román is a Professor and Chair in the Engineering Sciences and Materials (CIIM) Department at the University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez Campus (UPRM). Dr. Santiago earned a BS and MS in Industrial Engineering from UPRM and Ph.D. in Engineering Education from Purdue University. Dr. Santiago has over 20 years of experience in academia and has been successful in obtaining funding and publishing for various research projects. She's also the founder and advisor of the first ASEE student chapter in Puerto Rico.

Her research interests include investigating students' understanding of difficult concepts in engineering sciences, especially for underrepresented populations (Hispanic students). She has studied the effectiveness engineering concept inventories (Statics Concept Inventory - CATS and the Thermal and Transport Concept Inventory - TTCI) for diagnostic assessment and cultural differences among bilingual students. She has also contributed to the training and development of faculty in developing and evaluating various engineering curriculum and courses at UPRM, applying the outcome-based educational framework.

She has also incorporated theories on social cognitive career choices and student attrition mitigation to investigate the effectiveness of institutional interventions in increasing the retention and academic success of talented engineering students from economically disadvantaged families. She's also involved in a project that explores the relationship between the institutional policies at UPRM and faculty and graduate students' motivation to create good relationships between advisors and advisees.

Dr. Manuel Rodriguez-Martinez, University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez

Dr. Manuel Rodriguez-Martinez is a professor of Computer Science and Engineering at the University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez. His research interests focus on big data, deep learning, cloud computing, distributed databases, and mobile computing. He is the team leader for the Advanced Data Management Lab at UPRM. Dr. Rodriguez-Martinez is the recipient of a 2005 NSF CAREER Award.

Dr. Manuel A. Jimenez, University of Puerto Rico Mayaguez

Dr. Jimenez is a professor at the Electrical & Computer Engineering Department in the University of Puerto Rico Mayaguez (UPRM). He earned his B.S from Universidad Autonoma de Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic in 1986, M.S. from Univ. of Puerto Rico Mayaguez in 1991, and Ph.D. from Michigan State University in 1999. His current teaching and research interests include design, characterization, and rapid prototyping of information processing systems, embedded cyber-physical systems, and engineering education. He is the lead author of the textbook *Introduction to Embedded Systems: Using Microcontrollers and the MSP430* (Springer 2014). From 2013 to 2018 served as Associate Dean of engineering at UPRM. He currently directs the Engineering PEARLS program at UPRM, a College-wide NSF funded initiative, and coordinates the Rapid Systems Prototyping and the Electronic Testing and Characterization Laboratories at UPRM. He is a member of ASEE and IEEE.

Dr. Nayda G. Santiago, University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez Campus

Nayda G. Santiago is professor at the Electrical and Computer Engineering department, University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez Campus (UPRM) where she teaches the Capstone Course in Computer Engineering. She received an BS in EE from the University of PR, Mayaguez in 1989, a MEng in EE from Cornell University in 1990, and a PhD in EE from Michigan State University in 2003. She leads the Southeast region of the Computing Alliance for Hispanic Serving Institutions (CAHSI). Dr. Santiago is NCWIT academic alliance member, member of Henaac, SACNAS, IEEE, and ACM.

Prof. Nelson Cardona-Martinez, University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez Campus

Nelson Cardona-Martínez is a Chemical Engineering Professor at the University of Puerto Rico - Mayagüez. His research focuses on the development of catalytic materials and processes for the conversion of biomass derived feedstocks into valuable chemicals. He synergistically combines research, education and outreach to help create a diverse workforce in STEM fields.

Prof. Oscar Marcelo Suarez, University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez Campus

Professor Oscar Marcelo Suarez joined the University of Puerto Rico - Mayagüez in 2000. A Fellow of ASM International, he is the Coordinator of the Materials Science and Engineering graduate program, the first of its kind in Puerto Rico. He is also the director of the university's Nanotechnology Center Phase II, which is supported by the National Science Foundation. Currently, his work focuses on aluminum alloys, metal matrix composites, and concrete modified with nanoparticles as well as biocomposites for dielectric applications and as biocides. More recently, through a grant from the US Dept. of Agriculture, he has been working on the structural and mechanical characterization of an invasive wood to Puerto Rico. Important components of his interests are education and outreach to underrepresented minorities.

Building Career Goals and Boosting Self-efficacy in Engineering Students (Work in Progress)

Keywords: individual development plan, college success, mentoring

Abstract

This work in progress presents the development and implementation of an Individual Development Plan (IDP) for undergraduate and first-year master's engineering students. The IDP was designed and tailored as one of several strategies to increase retention and graduation rates for engineering students participating in the Program for Engineering Access, Retention, and LIATS Success (PEARLS). This program provides scholarships to low income, academically talented students (LIATS), and promotes their academic success and on-time graduation. We show how the IDPs, paired with a faculty mentoring component are able to produce a powerful mechanism to boost LIATS actions, propelling them to become highly competitive engineering students.

Introduction

Low-income students have been found to traditionally fall among groups with marked attrition, longest time to graduate, and reduced persistence indexes in many higher education institutions [1], [2]. Although many non-academic factors have been linked to this trend and numerous approaches reported to address the problem, its incidence continues to create a success gap between this group and the general student population.

This reality has not been an exception in the Engineering School of the [school name omitted], a Hispanic serving institution (HSI) feeding one of the largest shares of Hispanic engineers to the US labor market [3]. Over 70% of the engineering student body in [omitted school acronym] come from economically disadvantaged families [11], and exhibit gaps with up to 20% higher attrition and 18% longer completion time than counterparts coming from less economically disadvantaged groups.

In an attempt to close this gap, the [omitted institution acronym] College of Engineering started in 2018, with the support of the National Science Foundation (NSF), the Program for Engineering Access, Retention, and LIATS Success (PEARLS).

Since its inception, PEARLS has impacted students from several cohorts with a set of interventions with the common goal of increasing the level of success of engineering LIATS. One of the intervention mechanisms has centered in driving students to establish early in their academic lives, a roadmap of their school path as students, looking into their objectives as graduating engineers. The mechanism used for such an objective, has been an individual development plan (IDP) specifically tailored for undergraduates and first-year graduate students, complemented with a faculty mentoring program.

The main research question driving this initiative is identifying how the exercise of bringing such a tool to early study program engineering LIATS in an HSI could impact their success indicators and understanding the IDP influence in the mentor-mentee relationship.

This paper presents the process of developing the PEARLS IDP, preliminary results obtained from its implementation on PEARLS participants during the first two years of the program, and mentors' perceptions on the effectiveness of such a tool. The rest of this manuscript describes the academic setting and the structure of PEARLS; an in-depth description of the PEARLS IDP; how the IDP was initially administered to students and the preliminary results obtained from such an exercise, as well as the mentor's perceptions on their participation in the process. Finally, we discuss the lessons learned through this process and how they are shaping future interventions in the program, to finally finish with concluding remarks.

Academic Setting and PEARLS Program Structure

The University of [omitted] is a Hispanic Serving Institution (HSI) best known for its engineering school. The [omitted institution acronym], according to the American Society of Engineering Education (ASEE), ranks within the top three schools in the nation graduating Hispanic Engineers with a 100% service to underrepresented minorities [3]. With an enrollment of 13,148 the [omitted institution acronym] boasts a vibrant campus where 36% of them are engineering students, distributed among nine bachelor's level degree programs. According to the [omitted institution acronym] Office of Economic Aid, approximately 70% of students qualify for Pell grants.

The PEARLS program is organized as a hybrid intervention model, named the LIAT College Access and Success (L-CAS), that combines elements from Lent's Social Cognitive Career Theory and Tinto's Departure Model, and complemented by a structured scholarship program that addresses engineering students from disadvantaged socioeconomic groups [4]. The L-CAS model provides a series of longitudinal interventions distributed in its stages of background experiences, belonging, formation, and growth, designed to impact the self-efficacy beliefs, persistence and academic success of low-income students. Early in the model, students are encouraged to begin planning for their future as maturing engineering students and uprising professionals. A key element for this plan is the completion of an individual development plan (IDP) specifically tailored for undergraduates and first-year master's level students.

The initial study group consisted of 92 students, 41 (39 undergraduates and two master's level graduates) were awarded with a scholarship from the program and 51 were participants with no economic aid. Scholars came from families with average household income of \$14,512, while participant's families' income averaged \$44,216. Gender-wise, the group featured 43% females. The group included first-, second-, and third-year students distributed as 37%, 31%, and 30%, respectively. In terms of engineering study program, students were distributed as 14% in electrical, 15% computer, 15% mechanical, 13% industrial, 15% chemical, 7.6% civil, 5.4% surveying, 2.2% computer science, and 10% software engineering.

Students were distributed among eight faculty mentors, according to their study discipline. The programs of civil engineering and surveying shared the same faculty mentor, as did the computer science and software engineering programs. The eighth mentor was assigned to the master's level graduate students.

The IDP forms were developed during the first semester of the program (Fall 2018) and the students were instructed to complete the forms during the next semester (Spring 2019). The process of completing the IDPs extended throughout fall 2019. At the time the results were

tallied, four participants had left the program, reducing the study group to 88 students. Completing the IDP was entirely voluntary for all students.

The PEARLS IDP

The Individual Development Plan is one of several strategies of the PEARLS program designed to motivate students towards achieving success and for moving them forward on their career path to become professional engineers or pursue graduate studies. The use of IDPs has become a best practice with Ph.D. students and postdocs in science programs. One of the most well-known IDP tools is ‘myIDP’ developed by Fuhrmann, Hoin, Lindstaedt, and Clifford [5], [6] and sponsored by AAAS. In fact, it was this work what inspired us to create the PEARLS IDP. We also considered the work of Bosch [7], which specifically focuses on IDPs for undergraduate students.

The benefits of completing an IDP have been widely accepted. Such is the case that in 2012, the U.S. National Institutes of Health (NIH) Biomedical Research Workforce Working Group required IDPs for their postdoctoral researchers (Austin) [8] and the National Science Foundation included the IDP as one of the tools in their career development website [9]. As we can see, both NSF and NIH, as well as many universities, have embraced the IDP as a key component for enhancing STEM graduate and postdoctoral programs. In fact, in studies of reflection as pedagogy the IDP is considered a self-reflection tool. Researchers such as McMillan and Hearn have reported that it enhances self-motivation and achievement [10].

Taking all these factors into consideration, and the fact that there is not a similar tool as ‘myIDP’ for undergraduates, we developed an instrument customized to fit the goals of bachelor's and master's level engineering students. The PEARLS IDP was organized around five major sections. Students complete sections one through four on their own. Then, through a face-to-face meeting with their mentors, students are guided to complete section five. The description for each section follows.

1. Self-Assessment

In the first IDP section, students are required to self-assess their perceived levels of performance with respect to their peers' in six major areas that include: Knowledge, Research & Technical Skills, Communication, Management Skills, Mentoring, and Professionalism. An extra block is included for students to report any other skill that they need to work on. A five-point scale, ranging from Very Weak to Strong is provided for their assessment and a check box to identify target skills to work on during the following year. The goal of this section is to guide students through an auto-evaluation process of strengths and weaknesses.

2. Career Goals & Planning

Here, students are asked to declare short-, medium-, and long-term academic goals and delineate specific actions to be taken for each goal set, as well as potential barriers that could prevent them from reaching the established goals. They also express what they foresee as their career setting, whether as researchers, as educators in academia, or as professional engineers in practice. This section drives students to visualize themselves as future engineers, considering the different professional paths they could choose. This exercise is fostered by the students' exposure to the different areas of professional exercise through the course *Introduction to Engineering*, offered as part of the PEARLS program.

3. *Coursework*

In this section students are asked to identify elective courses that they consider will contribute to their future career goals and plan when they will take them. Students are also asked to include their plans for undergraduate /graduate research courses and/or COOP/Internship. This IDP part leads students to think ahead on the benefits of elective courses towards the achievement of their personal goals.

4. *Achievements*

This section serves to assess students' yearly achievements which include: course products, publications & presentations, received fellowships or awards, seminars, workshops, professional development activities, teaching or tutoring, community service, and leadership/outreach experiences. The goal of this section is to track students' achievements during their path to graduation.

5. *Action Plans*

This section is completed with the aid of the student mentor. Mentors review the students' answers in sections one through four during a scheduled meeting. During this meeting, the mentor discusses with the students' future plans and provides recommendations to ensure the action plan delineated by the student is achievable and properly aligns with the student expressed objectives. This way of completing the IDP reinforces the student-mentor relationship and strengthens the mentor's individualized knowledge of his or her mentees.

Administering the PEARLS IDP: Procedures & Results

Students and mentors were introduced to the purpose and value of the IDP before starting the process of its administration. Topics included the purpose of the IDP, its intended effect, corresponding roles, and how to complete it. A checklist of activities to guide both the mentor and the mentee during the process was distributed as a guide. The two PEARLS faculty who worked in adapting the forms delivered the presentation.

The first IDP version was administered to students as an Adobe form. Each faculty mentor was given the task of following up with their mentees to collect the completed forms with answers in sections one through four, and create appointment slots with their students for its discussion. During this meeting, mentors and mentee designed an action plan corresponding to section five of the IDP. Mentors stored the completed IDPs in a Customer Relation Management (CRM) system for future reference. Students were also given a copy of the completed IDP for their follow-up. The IDP follow-up plan contemplated periodic revisions with their mentors, at least once per year.

The student response rate to completing the IDP process, including the discussion with their respective mentors, was 90% (79 out of 88). In a survey administered to students after completing their IDPs, 53% of them (42 of 79) expressed to "strongly agree" or "agree" that the IDP was a useful tool, while only 48% (38 of 79) considered it was easy to fill out. The student's perception of the interaction with their mentor was considerably good as 66% of respondents (52 of 79) indicated that their mentors had been helpful in completing their IDPs.

To deepen into the students' perceptions of the mentoring experience, they were asked to evaluate the process as well as their mentors. Responses were overly encouraging, as 82% indicated they had established a positive relationship with their mentors, 85% responded that

their mentors provided them with helpful feedback and positive criticism, 83% considered their mentors were easy to approach and talk to, 82% indicated they received timely feedback, and 76% reported being encouraged to apply to summer research programs, cooperative education, or industry internships.

Students also reported to be ‘Very Satisfied’ or ‘Satisfied’ with the following aspects of their mentoring experiences: the way their mentor treated them (87.50%), the communication approach toward them (84.37%), the structure or organization of the meetings (75%), the performance standards established by mentors (79.68%), the relationship established (78.12%), the style of mentoring (76.56%), and the written plan that included goals to be met under their mentors’ guidance (70.31%).

When opportunities opened during the next job fair, research opportunities, and other initiatives, we noticed an increased enthusiasm among PEARLS students. A total of 47 students participated in summer research experiences, 33 on-campus and 14 in off-campus REUs. The participation in industry experiences was also significant, with twelve students joining STEM-related jobs while other twelve joined special projects, student association initiatives, and team competitions.

Mentor’s impressions of the IDPs were also assessed via a brief questionnaire administered at the end of the first year of the program. All eight mentors completed the questionnaire. When prompted “*The IDP is a useful tool for mentors*”, 87.5% (7/8) either agreed or strongly agreed with the statement, with one mentor who neither agreed or disagreed. When prompted “*I encouraged students to complete their IDPs*”, again, 87.5% agreed or strongly agreed and one provided a neutral answer.

Many students expressed that they considered the form difficult to complete. From this feedback, we understood that a simpler form would be beneficial. Consequently, we engaged in the process of re-evaluating the form to identify redundancies, reduce open answers, and consolidate related questions. This exercise resulted in a form that was 25% shorter than the previous version. Moreover, the revised IDP contemplated facilitating the follow-up to previously completed forms, while maintaining the requirement of face-to-face interactions with mentors for completing section five.

Before exporting the form to a new platform for administering it to students, mentors were asked to evaluate the recommended changes. Six of eight mentors (75%) ‘Agreed or ‘Strongly agreed’ that the revised version of the IDP was easier to complete, while two felt it maintained the same complexity level.

The plan to update and distribute the IDPs was hindered by a string of events occurring at the beginning of 2020. First, in January, a 6.4 earthquake and subsequent aftershocks delayed the start of the spring semester by three weeks. Shortly after classes started, on March 14, the lock-down response to the Covid-19 pandemic shut the university down, resuming activities two weeks later exclusively through online interactions. Consequently, the IDP form itself had to be reassessed to transform it into an online form and virtual follow-up of students.

Lessons Learned and Ongoing Work

Aside from the inconveniences caused by the earthquakes and the pandemic, the IDP experience was not trouble-free. Although both, mentors and students, received training on how to complete

the IDPs, both groups faced problems during the process. We found that some students completed their IDPs in print and scanned the completed form to report their answers instead of using the Adobe form. Other students emailed their forms while a few submitted them in paper in the mentor's office. This unexpected turn fostered face-to-face interactions with mentors, but at the same time made difficult IDP tracking and record keeping. When we inquired about the reasons for not using the provided form we found that even when students were expected to use personal computers to complete the form, most of them used their cell phones instead, causing compatibility problems with the forms. These reports, among the limitations imposed by the pandemic, made us aware that there was a need to revise the IDP, consider an alternate form, and straighten the administration process. As a response, a new, fully on-line IDP is being developed, one that allows for virtual interactions with students. Training schedules for students are now being planned through peer-mentoring to capture student trends in following-up with the virtual IDPs. Mentors will be trained separately to try to reach an even higher response for students, and strict document management strategies established to prevent deviations from the established data collection formats.

Despite the setbacks, students completed their IDPs, the communication between students and mentors was reinforced, and students went through the process of establishing their first IDP. Mentors reported a positive experience in communicating with students via the IDPs, as well as students communicating with mentors. Moreover, as many as 80% students actively participated in summer experiences, while academically excelling at rates that surpass the average student.

These results, although preliminary, shed light into the answers sought for our research, indicating that IDPs provide the ground for defining a student base-line across different aspects of their education. Once a self-assessment is established, and the specific goals are clear and concise, the student is better equipped to identify the path forward to accomplish those milestones in their career, enabling the guidance from their mentors, and creating opportunities for actions that place them above the average student.

Conclusion

We have presented the implementation of an individual development plan tailored for undergraduate and first-year master's students. The customization process to allow the IDP to be useful with undergrads was discussed, as well as the implementation process. Results indicate that students and mentors alike consider it a valuable tool. Moreover, the actions taken by students denote impact in the direction of leading them to become competitive engineers, with accumulated experiences in research, team working, and industry experiences. This group, despite coming from economically disadvantaged families, is making excellent progress towards closing and surpassing the traditional gap that separates this group from the rest of the student body. Meanwhile, as part of our ongoing project, we have identified strategies that work and opportunities for being more effective in our quest to help our LIATS become successful engineering students.

Acknowledgment

This research was supported by the National Science Foundation under Award No. [omitted]. Any opinions, findings, conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

References

- [1] I. Archambault, M. Janosz, V. Dupéré, M-C Brault, and M. Mc Andrew, “Individual, social, and family factors associated with high school dropout among low-SES youth: Differential effects as a function of immigrant status”, *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 2017, Vol. 87(3), pp. 456-477
- [2] W. Evans, M. Kearney, B. Perry, and J. Sullivan, “Increasing Community College Completion Rates Among Low-Income Students: Evidence from a Randomized Controlled Trial Evaluation of a Case-Management Intervention”, *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 2020, Vol. 39(4), pp. 930-965
- [3] American Society for Engineering Education. (2020). *Engineering and Engineering Technology by the Numbers 2019*. Washington, DC. Available online at <https://ira.asee.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Engineering-by-the-Numbers-FINAL-2021.pdf> Last retrieved March 4, 2021.
- [4] M. Jimenez, S. Bartolomei, L. Guillemard, A. Santiago, M. Suarez, N. Santiago, C. López, P. Quintero, N. Cardona, “Impacting Students from Economically Disadvantaged Groups in an Engineering Career Pathway”. In *Proc. Of 2020 ASEE Annual Virtual Conference & Exposition – ASEEVC 2020*, Hosted by Univ. of Maryland. June 22-26, 2020
- [5] Fuhrmann, C.N., Hobin, J.A., Lindstaedt, B., & Clifford, P.S. (2012) myIDP: an online career planning tool Website published on Science Careers on Sept. 7, 2012. <http://myidp.sciencereers.org>
- [6] Hobin, J.A., Fuhrmann, C.N., Lindstaedt, B., Clifford, P.S. (2012) You need a game plan Science Careers, published Sept. 7, 2012. Reprinted in *2014 Career Handbook: Advice and Opportunities for Scientists* Science / AAAS Custom Publishing Office, ed. Allison Prichard. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencemag.org/careers/2012/09/you-need-game-plan>
- [7] Bosch, C. G. (2017). *Building Your Individual Development Plan (IDP): A Guide for Undergraduate Students*. STEM and Culture Chronicle. Retrieved from <https://medium.com/stem-and-culture-chronicle/building-your-individual-development-plan-idp-a-guide-for-undergraduate-students-fl4feca9111c>
- [8] Austin, J, & Alberts, B. (2012). Editorial: Planning Career Paths for Ph.D.s. *Science Careers*, published Sept. 7, 2012. Retrieved from https://www.sciencemag.org/careers/2012/09/editorial-planning-career-paths-phds?_ga=2.208189522.1577794759.1614952227-1890636523.1614952227
- [9] The National Science Foundation Career Professional Development Center https://www.nsfgrfp.org/fellows/career___professional_development_/career_development
- [10] McMillan, J. H., & Hearn, J. (2008). “Student self-assessment: The key to stronger student motivation and higher achievement”, *Educational horizons*, 87(1), pp. 40-49
- [11] *Engineering Statistics*, Available by request from the [omitted] Office of Planning, Institutional Research, and Institutional Improvement [omitted acronym], [https://\[omitted\]/](https://[omitted]/) February, 2021.